

thus Mr. Young in his last review, as also in this his second, strongly states and emphasizes that which is false, and all this is his own creation and of recent date, and yet he thus rashly and falsely speaks. No wonder he is so much astray in those matters and fields from which he has been separated for so many years.

In his next paragraph he makes fun of my "absurdities," as he is pleased to call my criticisms of his stories about peril in travel, and refers me to the Rev. George Young, D.D., in whose hands he would leave me, and says Dr. Young "knows of those long years and of the efforts to keep down expenses," etc. As to the "long years," I answered that in my former letter there were eight in all, though a part of this period was spent away from the Indian field. This Dr. Young knows, and as to the item of expenses he also knows that Rev. Egerton Young was the most expensive man our Church has ever put in the mission work among the Indians, that while only 400 miles from Winnipeg for a part of this period and again but 200 miles for the rest of the time with cheap boat transport during the summer, yet the actual cost of this man (E. R. Y.) to our Society, independent of all private donations (and these were very large, especially from Montreal and Hamilton), was for the eight years as shown by the records to be \$19,660.36, while for the same period, though my field was more distant by 600 miles, and cost of transport very heavy, and my work far more extensive and necessitating five or six times as much travel as his did, yet my total cost to the Society was only \$9,575; that is, for the same period of service, I, with far more work placed on me, a larger field and far more trying circumstances, cost our Missionary Society \$9,925.36 less than Egerton Young did. Dr. Young knows all this, and in common with our missionary authorities worried over it a lot at the time, for he was Chairman of the Red River District during these years. Dr. Young knows further how embarrassing it has been to himself to be taken by the general and travelling public for the Rev. E. R. Young. For instance, a gentleman who prided himself on his ability to read character, said to one of our preachers, "Who is that fine-looking old gentleman?" referring to Dr. Young, and the preacher told him with a very natural pride, "That is *our* Dr. Young, of Winnipeg fame." "*What*," said the character-student, "that is not the man who tells the whoppers?" "*No, no!*" said our preacher, there are two Youngs. This is the man who began work in the Red River settlement in the early days, and was there during the first rebellion," and our mind-reader was very much relieved. Yes, Dr. Young knows a great deal about my reviewer, and so far as I am concerned, he may very well leave me to Dr. Young.