

edmonwon wons



Mel Hurtig

Let's not kid ourselves. You're not going to base your decision on October 30th on a 500 word summation of this or any other candidate's platform.

A superficial discussion of abortion, marijuana, and pollution as election issues would simply invite a trite and patronizing response from anyone seeking your vote.

Let me just say that I think there are as many inconsistencies and hypocrisies in the laws relating to these subjects as there are in the political system itself

political system itself.

We expect our M. P.'s to be honest, outspoken and answerable only to the voter. Yet we allow candidates and political parties to be bankrolled by secret contributors, pressure groups and large favour-seeking corporations. I believe we *must* reform our election laws to provide for full disclosure of all political financing.

We must also set limits on election spending, so that every citizen has an equal opportunity to run for office, thus encouraging better representation from the sectors which are presently not heard

from in Parliament—the poor, the young people, women, and the elderly. Why should money be the criteria for conducting a winning campaign? Shouldn't ideas and principles be the most important variables?

If your causes are worth fighting for, you too must become involved in the governing of this country to effect the changes you believe in,

Remember, the options and variables with which you will be working when some of your generation are governing Canada will be greatly reduced unless you do something now to stop the sellout of our resources, our land and the control of our businesses to non-Canadians.

It's your future that is at stake. Unless you convince the governments (both provincial and federal) to impose stricter controls on the takeover of Canada's economy, your generation will be tenants and servants in a land they once were proud to call "mine." Don't deny yourselves any chances. Show your concerns on election day.



Marcel Lambert

Conservati

Inflation in Canada is accelerating at an alarming rate---5.3% in 1972, the largest increase in twenty years, and capping an 18% increase since 1968. To alleviate this the Progressive Conservatives propose:

1. That the government a uthorize immediate supplementary cost of living payments to Canadian pensioners.

2. The cancellation of the 3% increase in personal income tax scheduled for January 1973.

3. That a further 4% cut be made in personal income tax retroactive to July 1, 1972.

4. That a constant dollar base be established for personal income tax to eliminate as much as possible the built in interest of the government in continuing inflation yielding ever increasing revenues to government on the same tax structure.

Unemployment is at an unacceptably high level (on a national basis and is scandalously so in many regions. During the summer 56% of Canada's unemployed were under 25. The unemployment

rate for young people had been above 10% for 27 consecutive months. That is no way to pay off a promise, in life or in politics.)

Our party will aim a national economic policy that combines stability and equity with economic growth; this growth must serve the common good. What is required is a long term industrial strategy based on the full cooperation of the federal and provincial governments and all segments of the community, industry, business, labour and agriculture.

We believe that the federal and provincial governments working in close consultation and cooperation must act to assure increasing Canadian participation in the country's economy. Key sectors of the economy should be designated in which foreign investment and takeovers could be regulated. Succession duties should be eliminated or at least integrated with the capital gains tax to remove one of the principal causes of the sale of Canadian businesses all too often at fire-sale prices. Tax changes must be made to put the Canadian tax payer on an equal footing at least with foreign investors. Tax incentives for Canadians only would encourage equity investment in Canadian

A vote for Progressive Conservative candidates is a vote for more humane and conciliatory government under Robert Stanfield, responsive to the needs and aspirations of the greatest number of Canadians.



Don McLeod

Members of the University Campus are no doubt interested in many things that the Social Credit Party stands for apart from strictly monetary reform policies. These things would probably encompass the criminal code as it relates to capital punishment, to law and order, abortion, prison reform, etc.

You are also probably concerned with the environment, housing, immigration, foreign affairs, among other things. Our policy and platform deal with all these things. We feel that the 'hot potato' issue of capital punishment and abortion should be handled much differently than they are now, and the cabinet are shirking their responsibility and usurping the courts perogative and authority by their failure to carry out the judgement of the court. Legislation should be enacted in the criminal code, and in a free vote across all party lines, a decision should be made and adherred to without fear of toppling the government.

Low interest loans, probably a maximum of 3% should be made available for single family homes.



The environment should and must be protected and funds made available to do this.

Canadians must also be concerned with foreign affairs, agriculture, external trade, control of our own economy and overall monetary policies and the Social Credit Party have dealt with all these areas.

In the field of agriculture we believe that farmers as the nourishers of the country must be encouraged and protected with measures that will allow them to occupy their proper place. The family farm must be protected and the lending policies of the Farm Credit Corporation and other agencies must be adjusted to make them more readily available than at the present time.

As a final note to this short and incomplete policy statement we subscribe to the premise that Federal Elections should and will held on a fixed date every four years to eliminate the uncertainties presently inherent in a Prime

from Saturday Review

Minister being able to play cat and mouse not only with the opposition and the entire nation but even with the members of his own cabinet.



John Packer New Democrat

All Canadians feel pride in our country's achievements. Our people have built a bountiful land. But most of the bounty is in the possession of a few corporate hands, many of them foreign hands. And on every hand one sees injustice and inequality. A few people live in affluence, but most can hardly meet their needs and many live in depressing poverty.

After years of fanfare and promises, all the government could produce on the urgent problem of foreign ownership was a policy to screen, not to limit, foreign takeovers. What use is that when so much of our petroleum, mining and manufacturing industries have already been taken over. And what use is it when there will be no control over new foreign investment or the expansion of existing subsidiaries?

What we have after four years of Trudeau government is large unemployment, high prices, growing inequality, continuing poverty for a quarter of our people, insecurity for our farmers and for our workers.

The New Democratic Party does not pretend to have all the answers. But what we do offer is a commitment to the ordinary people of Canada, a determination to place their needs and aspirations ahead of corporate profits, a program to build an independent Canada of equality and compassion.

An NDP government would combat unemployment by

* An immediate tax cut for middle and low-income

* A massive program of socially useful construction: houses, day care centres, sewage treatment plants, and re-cycling plants.

* Stimulating manufacturing, so that raw materials would be processed in Canada and not exported along with jobs to other countries.

Pensioners would be assisted by the following

program

* A minimum Old
Age Pension of \$150.00 per
month.

* A u to matic adjustment of pensions to the increasing cost of living-by including an escalator clause.

The rising cost of living would be curbed by establishment of a 'Prices Review Board' to oversee price increases, including those in food, to establish that any increase is fully justified in terms of increased costs of production, and are not arbitrary price hikes intended only to increase the profit margin.



"...smallest Bandwagon I've seen...wonder whose it is."