This proposition is made after due consideration and careful calculations, and in good faith, and I have to request that you will lay the same before His Excellency the Governor

General and Privy Council, at your earliest convenience.

The Directors have observed some very erroneous calculations and statements in the Montreal Gazette tending to the prejudice and injury of this section of the Province, and totally void of the semblance of truth; for your information I subjoin a few statistics bearing upon the subject, and derived from the most authentic sources.

Population of counties contributing to the Railway by Keswick Valle	y, viz. :-
Albert	13,166
Carleton	24,099
Charlotte	28,395
King's	28,754
Queen's	17,009
St. John's City and County	63,522
Sunbury	6,897
Victoria	11,261
Westmoreland	36,681
York	36,613
Total Population, 1868	266,397
Total Population, 1868 Population of Counties contributing to the Railway by the North Sho	
Population of Counties contributing to the Railway by the North Sho	ore route.
Population of Counties contributing to the Railway by the North Sho	ore route. 166
Population of Counties contributing to the Railway by the North Sho Albert	ore route. 166 681
Population of Counties contributing to the Railway by the North Sho Albert	ore route. 166 681 076
Population of Counties contributing to the Railway by the North Sho Albert	ore route. 166 681 076 654
Population of Counties contributing to the Railway by the North Sho Albert 13 Westmoreland 36 Gloucester 18 Kent 20 Northumberland 23	ore route. 166 681 076 654 312
Population of Counties contributing to the Railway by the North Sho Albert	ore route. 166 681 076 654 312

Shewing a population difference in favor of the Keswick valley route of 141,301; and to this number should be added 30,000 from the State of Maine, who would contribute at least \$100,000 per annum to the revenues of the Intercolonial, built by the Keswick valley.

You will observe that the population of the Counties of Albert and Westmoreland are included in the above, as both these counties would contribute to the road by either route.

I would now draw your attention to the difference there exists in the Exports and Imports from the counties referred to above.

Imports from counties contributing to Intercolonial by Keswick Valley route, 1867	\$8,994,129
Imports by counties contributing to Intercolonial by North Shore	1,006,655
Difference in favor of Keswick Valley Route	\$7,987,374
Exports from counties contributing to Intercolonial by the Keswick Valley Route, 1867	\$4,933,047
Difference in favor Keswick Valley Route	\$3,492,389

The aforegoing statements you can depend upon as reliable in every particular, and should have weight in the determining of the route of so important a line of Railway as the Intercolonial.