

Questions

\$1,440; Choquette, J. (Miss), \$1,140; Lefebvre, J. P., \$1,260; Bousquet, P., \$1,260; Genest, G. (Miss), \$960.

7. Dastous, L. E. Sherbrooke; Soles, C. E. (Transferred from R.M.S.), Montreal; Lallier, J., Coaticook; Downing, J. D., Cookshire; Mullins, G. (Miss), Sherbrooke; Aubin, R. (Miss), Sherbrooke; Frechette, R., Wolfstown; Choquette, J., Sherbrooke; Bousquet, P., Sherbrooke; Lefebvre, J. P., Sherbrooke; Genest, G. (Miss), Sherbrooke.

CAMPAIGN FUNDS

Mr. BINETTE:

Is it the intention of the Government to amend the Dominion Elections Act, so as to make compulsory the publishing of the names of all subscribers to campaign funds, and the amount subscribed in each case?

Hon. Mr. MACKENZIE KING: Amendments to the Dominion Elections Act will be considered in due course.

ST. LAWRENCE RIVER CANALIZATION

Mr. BINETTE:

1. Has the Dominion Government had any communication with the Imperial Government concerning the canalization of the St. Lawrence river?

2. Did the Dominion Government have any communication with the British Ambassador at Washington in this connection?

3. Has the Dominion Government had any communication in this connection with the United States Congress?

Hon. Mr. MACKENZIE KING:

1. Beyond transmitting copy of Minute of Council dated 24th December, 1919, expressing the readiness of the Canadian Government to join with the government of the United States in submitting reference to the question of the development and use of the St. Lawrence river between Montreal and lake Ontario to the International Joint Commission, no.

2. Yes.

3. No.

QUEBEC RIOTS COMPENSATION

Mr. PARENT:

Is it the intention of the Government to take such steps as will provide for due compensation to the innocent victims who, during the so-called riots in 1918, in the city of Quebec, have either been killed or wounded or have suffered damages at the hands of His Majesty's soldiers?

Hon. Mr. MACKENZIE KING: This matter is under consideration.

[Mr. Murphy.]

QUESTIONS PASSED AS ORDERS FOR RETURNS

Mr. LANCTOT:

1. Is it a fact that appeals made by many civil servants who are dissatisfied with their classification never reach the Board of Hearing?

2. Is it true that such appeals are from the decisions of heads or chiefs of branches who declined to recommend the classifications claimed to be in accord with the character of the work done by such civil servants?

3. Is it true such appeals fail to reach the Board of Hearing because they are so prevented by the heads or chiefs against whose decisions such appeals are made?

4. If so, does the Government intend taking any steps to see justice done such civil servants, by having their appeals reach the Board of Hearing notwithstanding the opposition of such heads or chiefs against whose decisions such appeals are made?

5. If not, why not?

Mr. CHISHOLM:

1. Is the Government aware that there are instances of officials in the public service subordinate to deputy ministers who are in receipt of salaries more than those paid such deputy ministers?

2. If so, is it the policy of the Government to continue this custom?

3. What deputy ministers or other officials in the public service are receiving more than six thousand dollars per annum from any Government source?

Mr. TOBIN:

1. How many postmasters were dismissed from office, in the constituency of Richmond and Wolfe, from the 21st day of September, 1911, to the 1st day of January, 1922?

2. How many postmasters resigned their offices, in the constituency of Richmond and Wolfe, during the same period?

3. How many postmasters died, in the said constituency, during the same period?

4. What were, in each case, the names of the postmasters and the names of the post offices?

5. What was the cause of dismissal in each case where the postmaster was dismissed, during the said period?

Mr. TOBIN:

1. How many postmasters have been appointed in the constituency of Richmond and Wolfe, from the 21st of September, 1911, to the 1st of January, 1922?

2. What are the names of said postmasters, the location of post offices and the names of the persons who recommended the appointment in each case?

Mr. McDONALD (Timiskaming):

1. How many multigraph machines are in use in the various departments of the Government?

2. What was the volume of work done on these machines, by departments, during the fiscal year 1921-1922?

3. Is this work under the control of the Editorial Committee?