

them, procured the report of the architect on the subject of its practicability. But in view of the fact that the parish is not defined by certain boundaries, and it is not known how small a portion may be assigned it; and, further, that a chapel is in course of erection in the St. James' Cemetery, and considering the report of the architect on the subject of removing the west wall, already erected, and enlarging the church in that direction, as most unfavourable to that project; but most of all, your Committee, feeling that they were not warranted in incurring £400 or £500 of debt (for such it must have been) for that purpose, and which might have been the means of creating another long rest in the building, after most earnest deliberation, passed a unanimous resolution that the new church be proceeded with at once, according to the present plan, and that enlargement was impracticable at present.

Your Committee beg to append a statement of receipts and expenditure, together with a statement of the purchase of Mr. Henderson's portion of the lot on which the new church is now being erected, (on reference to which it will be observed that Mr. Henderson has kindly remitted the sum of £68 6s.) And also a statement of the available assets, to provide for the re-payment of the loan of £1,500.

Your Committee cannot conclude without expressing their heartfelt gratitude to Mr. Allan for his munificent gift of his portion of the lot on which to erect the church. He has handed the Committee a deed of gift thereof.

All which your Committee beg respectfully to submit.

T. HENRY INCE,  
Secretary.

Toronto, 21st April, 1860.

Statement of Purchase from Mr. Henderson.

On the 20th of November, 1857, the Building Committee purchased from James Henderson, Esq., the undivided half of the lot on which the new church is erected, at £500; taking a deed to the Incumbent, and Mr. Baldwin giving personal bonds for the payment of the money, as follows: £137 10s., and interest thereon as it becomes due, being one-half amount of a mortgage given thereon by Messrs. Allan and Henderson to Mr. Darling's executors, and the balance, £362 10s., to Mr. Henderson; £100 cash, and the balance in five equal annual instalments of £52 10s., with interest.

1857, Nov. 20.—Paid on account of above to Mr. Henderson .....	£100 0 0
1858, April 20.—Interest on Darling mortgage to Mr. Henderson.....	7 10 0
1858, Oct. 9.—Interest to Mrs. Darling .....	8 5 0
1858, Nov. 20.—Paid Mr. Henderson instalment and interest.....	68 5 0
1859, May 7.—Paid Mrs. Darling interest .....	8 5 0
Paid by Churchwarden in November, 1859 .....	8 5 0

The instalment due the 20th November, 1859, and interest therewith, has been remitted by Mr. Henderson, leaving three instalments, amounting to £157 10s., and interest about £8 10s., to pay Mr. Henderson. The mortgage to Mr. Darling became due on the 20th of April, 1860, with a half year's interest.

Available assets to meet the re-payment of the loan of £1,500.

Still in Mr. Bacon's hands to be collected .....	\$ 240 00
Various bonds of members of the congregation .....	6200 00
(with interest half-yearly at 8 per cent.)	

Bonds for .....	190 00
(payable in equal half-yearly instalments without interest.)	
Besides the balance of Subscription List unpaid .....	3004 80
(of which about half is available.)	

Statement of Receipts and Expenditure of Moneys of New St. Paul's Church, Yorkville.

Dr.	
To amount of subscriptions paid up, as per accompanying list .....	£ 784 11 0
" Proceeds of bazaar held in October, 1858, and also amount received from concert.....	678 15 11
" Amount received from Mrs. Jarvis .....	3 0 0
" Proceeds of picture gallery .....	7 5 10
" Amounts received from Mr. Bacon.....	£102 16 2
" Do. do. ....	22 10 0
" Do. do. ....	10 0 0
" Proceeds of note, £200, discounted .....	135 6 2
" Amount of Mr. McKinley's subscription .....	196 7 9
" Amount rec'd from other sources .....	1 5 0
" Amount rec'd from other sources .....	81 5 10
	£1887 17 6

To amount from D. L. McPherson...	1600 0 0
" Cash, Mr. J. H. Davis' subscription.....	2 10 0

Cr.	
By cash paid Mr. Henderson, on acct'nd land purchased from him.....	£175 15 0
By cash paid Mrs. Darling on account land...	24 15 0
" Paid Salter for stone .....	162 17 6
" Expenses and int. on Salter's note .....	0 10 4
" Paid Hughes & Livingston in full account of masonry work .....	110 0 0
" " Anderson for hauling stone....	22 0 0
" " Nicols do. do. ....	18 5 0
" " Daniels for carpenter work....	10 6 6
" " E Radford, including £50 premium .....	174 0 0
" " B. Worth, carpenter.....	9 3 9
" Booth, laying 102 T. stone, at £1 2s. 6d .....	114 15 0
" Booth, laying 35½ T. stone, at £1 10s. ....	53 5 0
" Booth, laying 36½ M-bricks, at £1 6s. ....	45 12 6
" Booth, corbels, flagging, splays, &c.....	98 4 4
" Booth, cut stone work .....	212 15 11
" Booth, extra sills to basement window .....	1 10 0
" " Lannigan, hauling stone.....	526 2 9
" " D. Daniels, do. do. ....	12 10 0
" " J. Mason, carpenter work, door frames Bwork.....	37 5 7
" " J. Mason, work done to roof as per certificate .....	9 7 6
" " Do. do. ....	80 0 0
" " Do. do. ....	50 0 0
" " J. Mason, covering work and clearing up .....	22 12 6
	162 0 0

" " A. Clark for 14½ T stone .....	33 9 9
" " Expenses do. ....	0 7 3
	33 17 0
" " Sheppard for 3000 bricks ..	5 1 8
" " T. Demery for 6000 bricks, at £2 6s.....	13 10 0
" " Thompson & Co., advertising ..	0 12 8
" " J. Montgomery for 74000 bricks, at £1 10s. 3d. ....	129 10 0
" " Geo. Nash, quarrying and delivery at wharf of 40 T stone, at £2 1s. 3d.....	82 14 0
" " B. Sharpley, carpenter .....	0 14 7
" " Harbor dues and wharfage on stone.....	7 4 0
" " Booth, for expenses in laying the foundation stone .....	0 10 0
" " Sundry small accounts.....	13 13 1
" Cash paid note.....	151 5 3
" Balance due W. A. Baldwin, Esq ..	8 14 8
	£1887 17 6

W. A. BALDWIN,  
Treasurer.

Toronto, 21st April, 1860.

REMARKS ON THE REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE OF THE CHURCH SOCIETY, ADDRESSED TO THE RIGHT REVEREND THE LORD BISHOP OF TORONTO.

My Lord Bishop,  
To all earnest supporters of our diocesan church society, I doubt not that the report of the committee of revision, which has lately appeared in the Ecclesiastical Gazette has afforded, on the whole, very deep satisfaction. Perhaps it is not only, nor principally, the determined resolution of the committee to promote retrenchment in the working expenses of the society, but rather, their full recognition of the pre eminent importance of the missionary work of the church within the diocese, that will commend the report to general approbation, and that leads many of us to anticipate important benefits from its adoption.

There are, however, one or two points with respect to which I trust the committee may be induced to modify their recommendations, and of which I would respectfully request your Lordship's earnest consideration, and that of the incorporated members of the society, before the proposed alterations are finally adopted. To these points I will allude in the order in which they have been taken up by the committee.

With respect to the salary of the secretary and the general expenses of management, I would, with due submission, enquire whether there is not danger of carrying retrenchment so far as seriously to impair the efficiency of the office. It may be conceded that a clerk and messenger are unnecessary; but surely it is inexpedient to cripple the usefulness of the secretary, by curtailing his salary to such an extent as to make it necessary for him to devote a considerable portion of time and attention to other duties. To our indebtedness to our present secretary, the committee have borne a handsome and a not undeserved testimony, and I for one cannot suppose that the society would have been in the position it is even at present, had he not been enabled to give an undivided attention to its interests. In its present depressed condition, surely, the society can less than ever afford to surrender the advantage of having the undivided energies of an able secretary devoted to its advancement. It is proposed to relieve him of a part of his duties; but may it not be questioned whether those duties can be advantageously delegated to any other than the secreta-