

re phrase we might say,  
TAKING

stock like ours or they  
prices as we are doing  
was a big success, the  
time last year. Is that  
advantage of our extra  
and to make a long  
ere.

be something special,  
papers. Do not wait  
you.

pany,  
Limited.  
Store.

ed Suits

We have good news for you  
today. The clothing section  
of it more especially. We  
have cleared out the whole  
of a manufacturers stock of  
suits and are going to pass  
them on to you. This store it  
should be understood believes  
it can supply better clothing  
by choosing the best values  
different manufacturers have  
to offer, rather than confine  
on. Thus we get the cream. We  
and prices. We see all that the  
d are free to take advantage of  
city. The popularity of our  
onstrated the success of this  
is another evidence of it. Note  
suits — \$7.00, \$8.00, \$9.00 —  
m for — Just 200 of them.

made of strictly all wool English  
cheviot, finished fringe, in the  
of Oxford grey color, Italian  
cloth lining, well tailored and  
perfect fitting, sizes 31 to 42,  
special price \$7.00  
70 Boys Brown and Vesta suits  
made of Navy Blue worsted serge  
also Scotch effects and English  
trousers in assorted patterns, some  
are braided trimmed, others are  
silk faced, choice linings and trim-  
mings, sizes 21 to 28, regular  
price \$3.50 and \$4.00, sale price \$2.75

st & Co.  
N, ONT.

NTZ'S

cher,  
Export

egs.  
Ziegler  
BERLIN AGENCY.

GRAND TRUNK RAILWAY  
SYSTEM

The Great International Route.  
Reaches through its Western  
connections via the following  
gateways — Detroit, Port  
Huron, and Chicago, and all  
points in

MISSOURI,  
KANSAS,  
NEBRASKA,  
COLORADO,  
ARKANSAS,  
TEXAS,  
ARIZONA and  
CALIFORNIA.

Tickets, folders and all information  
from Agents Grand Trunk Railway  
System, or  
G. D. LACOURNE,  
M. C. DICKSON,  
District Passenger Agent,  
Toronto.

REMEMBER

THE  
GREA  
SALE

OF

B.&I. Patent Bias Filled  
\$2.00 Corsets

At \$1.25

Commences To-day.

Come Early

SMYTH BROS

Cheap Cash Store.

Terms Cash and One Price.

23 and 25 South side King St.  
Berlin.

Do You Need Any  
of These?

Spring Skates from 50c to \$1.40 per pair.  
Hockey Skates from 40c to \$2.50 per pair.  
Hockey Sticks from 15c to 50c each.  
Skate Straps at 5c and 10c per pair.  
Hockey Pucks, regulation size, solid rubber, at  
25c each.

For Sale by

Peter Hymmen

Headquarters for Hardware, Plumbing, Heating, Gas piping  
Stoves and Tinware.

Phone 149. Near Bank of Hamilton.



10  
Per cent  
Discount

Double  
Trading  
Stamps

A  
LARGE

Reduction

Did you ever stop to consider what it means to receive 10 per cent discount on  
every dollar's worth of goods you buy at our store? Or to you people who  
are collecting Trading Stamps? We are giving double trading stamps  
Hundreds of people are taking advantage of these special  
offers at our store and we would like to see  
thousands more do likewise.

Clothing

Our Ready-to-wear clothing in Men's, Youth's and Children's Suits, Overcoats,  
Usters and Fox Jackets, our stock is very complete. Our lines of clothing  
are made up by the best and most reliable manufacturers for fit and  
workmanship. And quality—no doubt about it, it will pay  
every intellgent buyer in this line of goods to call and examine  
our stock before purchasing elsewhere. Our stock of Gent's  
Furnishings is also well assorted. We have the latest in  
stiff bosom colored shirts. Also a full assortment of  
the latest fashions in ties and neckwear.  
A call is solicited.

The Twin City's Best Clothing Store,

S.SAUDER & CO.

No 14 King Street,

News-Record

BERLIN, ONT.  
The German Printing & Publishing Co.  
Limited, Proprietors.



BY THE WAY.

In the Majority contest, Mr. Stae-  
bler will, no doubt, see Jacob's lad-  
der to get into the chair.

Mr. Eden's criticisms of his oppo-  
nent might be called the Revelations  
of John.

Billy Maclean's enemies are saying  
that the World man hasn't the ma-  
jor's face.

In casting your vote on Monday you  
your judgment and support those ten  
men who are best fitted to serve the  
town.

Both candidates for the Majority  
have now another incentive to win.  
The Mayor elect is to reply to the  
toast to "Berlin," at the Citizens  
banquet to Mr. S. J. Williams on Mon-  
day evening.

In response to an inquiry from Galt  
as to the legality of a candidate re-  
signing and afterwards having his  
name restored to the list of nominees  
before the ballots are printed, and  
standing for office, the Attorney Gen-  
eral's office refuses to express an  
opinion on the matter, intimating  
that it is a matter for the Courts to  
decide. The Statutes do not make  
the point clear.

A peculiar clerical announcement  
appears in a Buckinghamshire paper.  
It is as follows:—"The vicar regrets  
to have to inform his parishioners  
that, in consequence of his advanced  
age, it will not be possible for him to  
visit the residents on the hill-tops! He  
will still be able to perform all the  
Sunday church-duty. If at any time  
it should please God to send him a  
penny and enable him to give his  
great pleasure to resume his former  
course of visiting."

Three large deputations of Prohi-  
bitionists waited on Mr. Ross on Fri-  
day to urge him to pass a prohibitory  
law, similar to that of the Manitoba  
Act. Mr. Ross told them he was glad  
to meet them and that he and his  
Cabinet would give the question care-  
ful consideration and bowed them out.  
The deputations expected him to in-  
form them as to what he intended to  
do in the matter and not give him  
this information went away dis-  
pleased.

TELL THE TRUTH.

We have already spoken of the Fru-  
stration of a voyage of the best reser-  
ved from the visit to this country of  
the heir to the throne, by reason of the  
caricatures of Canada in the corres-  
pondence connected therewith of the  
great London journals. These we will  
distribute not to detract from the  
initial ignorance and an unwilling-  
ness as they went along to take the  
trouble to master the details of their  
business. They went along to start  
with, and they learnt nothing on their  
travels. We have another misrepresen-  
tation to add to the already long  
list. Summing up in oracular and  
grandiose style, his impressions of the  
royal tour, the representative of the  
London Times does justice to the  
Grand Trunk Railway. Comparisons  
are proverbially odious, and imperi-  
ous comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of the Canadian  
Pacific with the Grand Trunk, to the  
disadvantage of the latter, is essen-  
tially so. He puff the Canadian Pac-  
ific in the language of an advocate,  
and as its partisan, belittles the Grand  
Trunk. Repeating in the younger at-  
tention comparisons of