## SESSIONAL PAPER No. 29a

G. Joly to Neilson. Asking his assistance to prevent the annexation Lotbinière, of St. Nicholas to the County of Lotbiniere; and the removal of the ary, 1845. Registry Office from Lotbiniere; and to effect the restoration of the seat of the Circuit Court to the parish of Lotbiniere.

Joseph Bedard, and others to Neilson. Informing him that the drafts Charlesof the Education Bills before the Legislature have the approval of nearly bourg, 23rd February. the whole parish; and expressing a hope that they may become law, not-1845. withstanding the facts that in some respects they are capable of amend-

N. Marsden, M.D. to Neilson. Forwarding a petition to his care. Nicolet, Criticizes the Education Bill as giving too much power to the superin- ary, 1845. tendent of education. The Bill is disliked by the Roman Catholic clergy of the district of Three Rivers.

Rev. J. Cook to Neilson. Representing that the arrangement of the Quebec, names in the High School of Quebec Act of incorporation is apt to give ary, 1845. a wrong impression as to who first interested themselves in procuring the passage of the Act.

F. Glackmeyer. Offering to sell to the Legislative Council a collection Montreal, of maps of the world by Brué of Paris, similar to the set in the House of 3rd March, Assembly.

Rev. J. Cook to Neilson. Stating that money will be required for the Quebec. High School at Quebec, and asking what are the chances of obtaining 3rd March, assistance from the Legislature.

J. W. Leaycroft to Neilson. Representing the prejudicial effects of Quebec, the American Drawback Bill on the trade between the Lower Canadian 20th March, 1845. ports, and Upper Canada; and the advisability of protective duties on imports from the United States to Upper Canada.

L. C. Le Francois to Neilson. Forwarding a petition against the Chateau proposed subdivision of Montmorency County for purposes of registration Richer, 21st March, and giving reasons why it should not be done.

John Campbell to Neilson. Inviting his attention to a copy of a letter Quebec. addressed to the Honourable Messrs. Moffatt and Daly, deprecating comp- 24th March, liance with a petition from the Corporation of Quebec, praying that the voluntary tax paid for the support of a river police may be made permanent; and asking Neilson's assistance to defeat the proposed measure.

Wm. Walker, President of the Board of Trade to Neilson. Expressing Quebec. his opposition to the Bills respecting the River Police, to incorporate the 25th March, Stevedores, and to the Culler's Bill; also suggesting that the discrimination against the Canadian ports, in the American Drawbacks Bill might be met by imposing duties approximately equal to those collected in the United States on goods imported otherwise than by sea.

Wm. Stevenson, Honourary Secretary to Neilson. Presenting the Board of protest of the Board of Trade against the Bill for making permanent, the Trade, Quebec, voluntary tax collected for the maintenance of the River Police.

25th March, 1845.

(evidently the secretary to the Governor Quebec, General). Draft of a letter transmitting an address of congratulation April 15th, from the inhabitants of Valcartier to the Governor General on his being raised to the peerage.

Augustin Gingras to Neilson. Asking whether, as reported, Neilson vieve de had written to a certain party in that parish, asking him to unite the Bastican, 17th April, opposition to the Education and Municipal Bills, against which Neilson 1845. had drawn up a protest.