

# soundoff continued

## Money versus morals for the US

EDITOR'S NOTE: This letter was originally printed in the Brunswickan. However, there were a few typographical errors in the letter which its author felt changed the meaning significantly. We are reprinting the letter this week at the author's request.

Finally the Americans have their people back from Iran. We can consider that although there was no defeat or victory for the U.S. Iran too wanted to maintain its moral identity. The U.S. has had a long history of aggressiveness still exhibited by all sorts of involvements in the Internal Affairs of other countries (such as incurred towards Mexico in 1847, then later towards Chile and others that nobody seems to know or even remember). Why was the U.S. involved in Nicaragua supporting the Nicaraguan dictator A. Somoza? Why did

Washington want to help Somoza's people in Miami to get back to Nicaragua and again impose a dictatorship?

What do you suspect is happening right now in El Salvador where there are eight or nine hundred advisors (advisors?)?

Ask someone about the U.S. and they respond that the U.S. is our ally (ally?). Why are they -- if one assumes and augments a free world? It is necessary to realize that the American meaning for "free world" is a free world of transnational exploitive corporations that look for nothing more than a "free world trade market" to receive more and more for even less and less exchange, where if necessary they would even participate in the disruption of human rights and the manipulation of the people's mind to achieve their "money goal."

We must open our eyes and recognize that Canada or

Africa or Venezuela or any other country serve purely to the "materialistic" interest of the U.S. where the U.S. is our ally, but in the mystic sense.

Going back to the issue of Iran, why should Iran's Hitler (the Shah) be honored by the U.S. with diplomatic immunity? When he committed horrific atrocities against his own people. This tactic was commonly used by the Shah regime to maintain control but when it failed the Shah ran away from the situation, and to obtain refuge, where? Naturally the U.S. The entire country of Iran was therefore an economically subservient arm of the U.S.

for 27 years - the U.S. was hostage only because it had money to lose. The entire country of Iran was controlled politically by a U.S. puppet government - a real farce, eh?

Why should Iran suffer the 50 per cent annual inflation and 40 per cent of the people unemployed, as a consequence of a money making project by a business machine? So we can assume that Iran was held hostage for 27 years. Let's look beyond all the propaganda the U.S. dished out during the recent 444 day hostage taking "ordeal." Taking things into perspective, this facade was endured only

because business and the economy would have lost money - the immortal motivator labelled justifiable and sacred by U.S. governments ever since day one, when they did not admit any intervention in Iran's internal affairs. There is no justification for such a thing and the worst is now not being able to recognize a resentment of a nation destroyed only because of some country's "Lucrative Interests." If that sort of thing had happened in Canada, would Canadian behaviour have been the same or even more explosive.

Sergio Castaneda

BSc. III

## Bar Closure shows Smith's incapability

Dear Sir:

So, Mr. Smith and his "merry" band of dons continue their slow changeover to the type of residence system a few powerful men want.

The sudden closure of house bars is simply further proof of the incapability of Mr. Smith and a few dons to handle their respective positions. Everyone knows the easiest way to solve a problem is to ignore it or simply remove it.

Why Sir, did you not meet with the bar chairmen, presidents and dons all at the same meeting. These problems could have been discussed and these violations could have been brought up before. Alternative methods of running a bar system could have been made. After all, if nothing is said, it is to be assumed that everything is fine and legal.

Also, it appears the blame for all of this is strictly on the shoulders of the students. Unless a person is totally ignorant, he knows nothing is done in residence without the don knowing of it, or for organized events, the don's approval. It appears that when the shit hits the

fan the dons go running to the side of Mr. Smith. If my memory serves me correctly I recall a don taking part in many of the events of my ex-house. I also recall that he and his sociable "guests" drank free from the bar.

It is also a policy of some dons to be blind of what's going on in their house, when in fact they know every damn thing that happens. This, however, allows the blame to go straight to the students. It would be rather nice if some (only some) of the dons would remove the halo from around their heads and replace it with a pitchfork at the other end.

Recently there has been a widening gap between students and dons and it is a direct result of the stubbornness of both sides. The gap gets wider when dons can't talk to students and have to resort to a few insiders who backstab those they call friends and relay information back to those higher up.

The bar closure is just an example of the stupid "quiet feud" that has existed recently and must be brought into the open.

Mike McGraw

## Residence Bars: the old days

Dear Sir:

I'm writing this letter to let you know my feelings on the closure of the bars on campus.

Let's turn back the clock to an evening in the year 1972 in Harrison House. A few people wanted to go to the Arms. At the time the Arms was one side guys and one side mixed. It was practically impossible to get a seat. So the boys of Harrison thought it would be convenient to have a bar in the house. So we cleaned out the trunk room, put up old barnboard and presto - a bar with cheap booze. At the time, it was for house members and

guests. Everything went smoothly for a few years-not many can recall when the "original" Irish Rovers played in the bar. They were playing at the Playhouse and Pat Kehoe, the Resident Fellow and who also was from Ireland, invited them up afterwards for a little cheer.

Other houses soon followed suit and soon every house on campus had a bar. The real trouble began when the bars expanded, catering to high school students and threw bar parties. This was not the original intention of the Harrison bar. It was meant to be a

place to drink and to avoid the hassles of driving, townees and inflated liquor prices.

House Dons, House Presidents and Social Chairmen are responsible for the "End of an Era." Too many wanted things to be bigger and better. If Ken Windsor were around, this would not have happened. So it will be back to basics for a lot of people. People in residence nowadays seem to forget who established what. Maybe it's time for the trunks to go back where they belong.

'Rick'

**Student Work Abroad Programmes 1981**

It has often been said that there is no better way to get to know a foreign country than to work there. For the past 7 years The National Union of Students (NUS) and its affiliated service organization The Association of Student Councils (AOSC) have been successfully operating Student Work Abroad Programmes (SWAP) in foreign lands with a little help from our friends at Employment and Immigration Canada and the governments of participating countries. SWAP provides selected Canadian students between the ages of 18 and 30 the unique opportunity to benefit culturally and educationally through employment experiences overseas.

**The Perfect Way To Save On Your Holiday Overseas.**  
**Travel-Settle Down To Work A While - Travel Again.**

Student Union Bldg.  
 Dalhousie University  
 Halifax, B3H 4J2  
 (902) 424-2054

Dear Editor

I'd like to see your comments on (UNB A)

Ve

Dear Editor

Recently fortunate depositing may use machine Irving L. Nel. Not my desire a screw learn?

I noticed a sandwich empty for or so - students ing. If on machine get only you're lucky cup first) will give

guitars, a Drummond root of a gas music right.

Through Slate's eyes they are through. the smile the ardent

M

(Continued)

Further, motion for would reach deficit of \$ year. Con for two years possible si

"We're h another \$5 (The res calculated cupancy r well right

Asked i discussed closure, C we didn't. read in the

After c