



Where is Listowel?

A look through J. H. Gunther's Jewelry Store will satisfy you that he keeps the finest stock in this part of the country. His staff of obliging young men are always ready to show you through his immense stock.

VOL. 2.

ATWOOD, ONT., FRIDAY, JULY 17, 1891.

derivative for the control of the co article was sold, a few months later when the combinations had broken up. for \$65 less money. Some of the farmers who paid \$210 when they could have obtained the same binder for \$145 have

The Binder Twine Tax.

JAMES GRIEVE, M. P., MAKES HIS FIRST SPEECH IN THE COMMONS.

We see by the Hansard report that James Grieve, M. P., for North Perth, made his first debut in the Commons last week. A lengthy discussion as to the expediency of placing binder twine on the free list was participated in by Messers. Mulock, (mover of motion) McMillan, Ferguson, Grieve, and others. Following is Mr. Grieve's speech:—"It is not my intention to take up the time of the House very long this afternoon, but I feel it my duty to my constituents to say a few words in reference to the resolution which is before the House. As a young member of this House, representing a farming constituency, and one of the most progressive agricultural constituencies in the Province of Ontario or the Dominion of Canada, I think I would not be doing my duty if I did not raise my voice against the unjust tax which is now being levied on the farmers of this country. I do not sintend to enter into a general discussion of the tariff, but I shall confine myself to the resolutions which are before the House. For my part, I am not aware whether there is any combination in regard to the sale of binder twine or not, but, if I were to judge from the reports which are presented, I could come to no other conclusion excepting that there is a combine for that purpose. Combines of this nature are about the worst that the farmers have to contend with. I remember when a great combination existed between the binder manufacturers of Canada, though the twine manufacturers were not in the combine at the same time. I remember when the farmers were foreed to pay \$210 cash for a binder when the same anticle or the farmers in the reports of the combine at the same time. I remember when the combination shad broken up, and the combine of the most proper position that the farmers of Canada, form 75 per cert. There is probably only of merchanic and that to country of Perth, that I have the honor correlation of the time of the manufacturer of the combine at the same of proper position for this Government to place farmers in, when we take into consideration that the farmers of Canada form 75 per cent. of the total population. It seems to me absurd that they should be taxed to that enormous extent in order to make millionaires of a few manufacturers. In the House the other afternoon the Minister of Finance said, in reference to abolishing the duty of raw sugar:

COMMUNICATIONS.

To the Editor of The Bee.

Sir.—In your last week's issue I noticed an item in reference to milk watering which I think has a tendency to mislead the public. The item in question is that "the Inspector of the Western Dairymen's Association had been informed of certain patrons belonging to the Elma Cheese Co's factory watering their milk." The fact of the case is this, that the Inspector was not notified of any parties watering their milk. And I think that in justice to Mr. Foraest and Mr. Simpson that I am in duty bound to correct this statement. Now in regard to milk testing, I would suggest that each factory have a Babcock tester, and that the cheesemaker test each patron's milk as often as possible, and that he notify by letter all parties whose milk does not stand 3 per cent. of butter fat. Then if no improvement is found the Inspector be notified and by so doing I think it would give more general satisfaction to the patrons. I know of one factory that has a Babcock tester and that had a patron sending milk which showed 2.80 per cent. of butter fat, and in this manner was notified and the next morning his milk showed 3.80 per cent. of butter fat and has continued so ever since. Thanking you, Mr. Editor, for your yaluable space,

I am, yours, &c.,

Atwood, July 13, 1891. To the Editor of THE BEE.

Atwood, July 13, 1891.

A Few Days at Home.

To the Editor of THE BEE. DEAR SIR:—Several friends have ex pressed a wish that I would continue the letter of two weeks ago. I had no thought of doing so, but as sketches of

the defendant guilty and imposed a fine of \$20 and costs.

In commenting upon the two cases, (Forrest's and Simpson's) Magistrate Terhune expressed his belief that the employment of "cheap" cheesemakers was largely responsible for patrons sending adulterated milk to the factory. If the cheesemaker was properly remunerated and did his duty, making frequent tests of the patrons' milk, there would be fewer complaints of tampering with milk, and the quantity to make a pound of cheese would be kept at the proper standard. The law as it now stands makes the milk tests the gauge of the patron's honesty, and unless he can produce satisfactory evidence to account for his milk lacking in cream or for being adulterated, he must suffer the penalty. This fact, the magistrate held, tended to make the directors and managers of the factory lax in the discharge of their duty. It appears to us that the co-operative system of cheesemaking will never be wholly satisfactory until each patron's milk is rated at its actual cheesemaking percentage and he is remunerated accordingly. This would put a stop to dishonesty, and would offer a premium on good stock and good care of them and their product.—Standard. s. ceneese poor proving they first sent milk to the factory on the Sth of May, and so corroborated the statument regarding butter sold and the amount of buter then in the house. He emphatically denied ever having tampeed with milk at any time, produced a book wherein he had made records of tests made to years ago and in short corroborated the even the part of the