ou the 2 You

noney,

ment."

ardon.

n, un-

d, and

e? thou

let me

Record

t make

han by

ort of fo

like a

cious of

so good

that I give up

right, I

, with

other:

n is the

nent to al Huf-

AND of this I can't have the least shadow of doubt, inasmuch as I have been told by very good authority, it is some way or other laid down as a rule, " That whenever the Law "doth give any thing to one, it giveth impli-66 edly whatever is necessary for the taking and " enjoying the same." Now I would gladly know what enjoyment I, or any Lady in the kingdom, can have of a coach without horses? The answer is obvious—None at all! For as Serj. Catlyne very wifely observes, "cc Tho' a " coach has wheels to the end it may thereby se and by virtue thereof be enabled to move; " yet in point of utility it may as well have of none, if they are not put in motion by means " of its vital parts, that is, the horses."

AND therefore, Sir, I humbly hope you and the learned in the Law will be of opinion, that two certain animals, or quadruped creatures, commonly called or known by the name of horses, ought to be annexed to, and go along with the Coach. Say Barcie, owledge to illustrate by my narche, to such an GES.

reignore men. Coke on Littleton. : nel 1861:

" - The state of the state in

. 1