Statement.

15. Lord Aberdeen's instructions to Mr. Pakenham cannot be read so as to cut don the effect of the Treaty. They must be interpreted so as to correspond in scope wife the project of the Treaty prepared and sent contemporaneously by Lord Aberdeen The words quoted by Mr. Bancroft (page 7) from Lord Aberdeen's instructions are:—

"Leaving the whole of Vancouver's Island with its ports and harbours in the possesses of the Britain."

The form of expression requires little explanation. Lord Aberdeen naturally does on the most prominent part of the arrangement which Mr. Pakenham was to propose namely, the securing the possession to this country of the whole of Vancouver's blank He referred only to the broad geographical features, the mention of which was suppose to be sufficient for the matter under discussion. There is nothing in his words to exclude any additional advantage which the terms of the project of the Treaty would give to the country, and more (it is plain) the project did give.

16. Mr. Bancroft further cites (page 8) a passage from a report of a speech Sir Robert Peel in the House of Commons:—

"Those who remember the local conformation of that country will understand that that which proposed is the continuation of the 49th parallel of latitude till it strikes the Straits of Fuci. In that parallel should not be continued as a boundary across Vancouver's Island, thus depurence of a part of Vancouver's Island, but that the middle of the channel shall be the future boundary is leaving as in passession of the whole of Vancouver's Island, with equal right to the mavigation of Straits."

It can scarcely be seriously contended that, because Sir Robert Peel, describing a popular way the effect of the Treaty, spoke of it as leaving us in possession of a whole of Vancouver's Island, this amounts to a declaration by him that the effect of a Treaty is to exclude us from any possession other than Vancouver's Island, althoughing within the future boundary, which he in the same breath specifies accurately as a middle of the channel.

17. In connection with the reference to Sir Robert Peel's speech, Mr. Banen (page 8) says:—

"Sir Robert Peel quoted from a despatch which proved that he was aware of the three in debate in the American Senate on the Treaty before its approval."

Here, as in some other parts of Mr. Bancroft's Memorial, it is difficult to discort the object of statements made by him, but not put into an argumentative form. To object of this statement would seem, from the context, to be to suggest that Sir Role Peel was at this time cognisant of the particulars of a speech of Mr. Benton, a Senate the United States, made in the Senate (referred to just before by Mr. Bancroft and to particularly considered hereafter in this Statement). If this is the suggestion near there are three answers to it:—

(i.) The deliberation of the Senate, reported in Mr. Pakenham's despatch, read part by Sir Robert Peel, was not the debate in which Mr. Benton's speech was mad. The despatch relates to the deliberation consequent on the preliminary Message of the President, asking the advice of the Senate, not to the debate on the ratification. It the latter debate in the course of which Mr. Benton's speech was made.

(ii.) Even if Mr. Benton's speech had been spoken before Mr. Pakenham's despat and the fact had been mentioned therein, there would still be no force in Mr. Banto suggestion, inasmuch as the debates in the Senate were secret, and the injunction secrety was not removed until after the date of the exchange of ratifications London #

(iii.) The despatch of Mr. Pakenham (of which the part relating to this matter printed by Mr. Bancroft in the extract from Sir Robert Peel's speech in Appendix No. to the Memorial) gives no information as to the name of any speaker, or the particul of any speech, in the Senate. It simply says:—‡

* In this passage the words in italies are in Mr. Bancroft's Memorial printed with widened spaces but the letters, the mode of printing used in German to show emphasis, corresponding to the use of italies in Printing of English. The like observation applies to other passages cited in this Statement from Mr. Band Memorial.

† Ratifications exchanged, July 17. Resolution of Senate removing injunction of secresy, August 6. Emphibication of Mr. Benton's speech known to Her Majesty's Government, August 29 (in Niles' Niles Register, a weekly newspaper published at Baltimore).

Historical Note, p. xv.

After a few b ende, by a majori except the terms

tt is clear, t er had) uny kno e point of M ernment do n

18. The third at there is evide atention of the

Welt dis know

c a Frequest (

I fen puil

I fen puil

I de stand in

C stand on tl

I have now to

with swith disput

I specially a propositio

I st. To divid

Poer for na one under t t they were mof Mr. Mac

thing Adminited Files, as no Existing one he (Now what the I is despatches; a life imp, and specific In this passible In this

where deduces feer light, how as are candidly to the United 's in) Mr. MacLa d Trenty. He fiel. He must knitally (necord the applied on to the words feed would run

rent his state
ty? That ques
kletter.
(ii.) One circum
is his mention a
ingon the 49th p
it MacLane) has

mm of. If th

Vs. Archibald Can edas mentioned in the uments of 1850 thin Is quoted or a had states Secretar