Chapman and Cohen, for plaintiff. Anderson, K.C., and Guy, for defendants.

Full Court.]

[March 7.

WINNIPEG v. TORONTO GENERAL TRUSTS.

Pleading—Counterclaim—Matter pleaded in anticipation of defence—Striking out pleadings as embarrassing.

A counterclaim should not contain allegations set up only by way of anticipating the defence that the defendant supposes the plaintiff will make to it, and such allegations will be struck out as embarrassing with leave to the defendant to file a proper pleading in lieu thereof.

Robson, K.C., for plaintiffs. Wilson, K.C., and McKercher, for defendants.

## Province of British Columbia.

Morrison, J.]

[Nov. 22.

GOLDSTEIN v. VANCOUVER TIMBER & TRADING CO.

Practice—Amendment of writ on ex parte application—Neglect to serve order amending—Application to add liquidator as party—Steps in proceedings—Order 64, r. 13.

An application, ex parte, to amend the writ by adding to the endorsement a description of certain real estate, is a step in the proceedings, although the amending order was not served on the defendants.

Sir C. H. Tupper, K.C., for plaintiff. A. D. Taylor, K.C., for defendants.

## Bench and Bar.

The quarterly dinner of the Belleville Bar Association was held at Belleville, on March 16th, the president Mr. W. N. Ponton, K.C., in the chair.

The Honourable Mr. Justice Teetzel was present, and in responding to the toast of his health referred to the early history of the Law Society of Upper Canada and to Chief Justice Osgoode, after whom Osgoode Hall was named, and spoke in eloquent terms of the prominent part played in public life by