

May 16, when I fully explained the whole matter, he promised to write to the Bishop of Huron; the following letters will shew how he fulfilled his promise:

“WOODSTOCK, ONT., May 29, 1879.

“MY DEAR ARCHDEACON,—At our recent interview in Toronto I promised to write to the Bishop of Huron as its result. I now enclose you a copy of the letter which I have written and am yours,

“Very faithfully,

“The Ven. Archdeacon Marsh, M.A., “ARTHUR TORONTO.  
“London.”

---

(Bishop Sweatman told me that he was quite aware that I was speaking of “Churchman’s” letter, at the meeting on March 6th.)

---

“TORONTO, ONT., May 28th, 1879.

“MY DEAR LORD,—In a recent interview which I had with Archdeacon Marsh, he represented to me, in very strong terms, that in the transactions connected with the publication of the letter signed ‘Churchwoman’ in the London *Evening Herald* of 17th February last, and the action taken in reference to it at the Council meeting of Huron College, on the 6th of March, he was not guilty of *intentional* duplicity or treachery, and as a result of that interview I promised to write to your Lordship.

“While my own interpretation of the Archdeacon’s conduct in allowing judgment to pass on Dr. Schulte, with his tacit consent, though consciously implicated in the action condemned, must remain unchanged; I think that the Council might accept Mr. Marsh’s written disavowal of any design to deceive or act a treacherous part, so far as to agree to withhold from the minutes the resolution erasing his name from the list of members of the Board, until he sends in his resignation of his seat thereat, unqualified by anything that might reflect upon the judgment of the Council; as I do not see how they could have acted otherwise than they did, with the facts before them.

“I am, my dear Lord,

“Very sincerely yours,

“ARTHUR TORONTO.

“The Right Rev. the Lord Bishop of Huron.”