

of these research groups has yet reached the stage of finalizing their arrangements and making an application to the National Energy Board.

**IMMIGRATION**

**ENTRY INTO CANADA OF STOKELY CARMICHAEL**

**Mr. W. B. Nesbitt (Oxford):** I have a question for the Minister of Manpower and Immigration, Mr. Speaker. In view of the long record of Mr. Stokely Carmichael as a promoter of violence and race hatred, was a special permit issued to enable Mr. Stokely Carmichael to enter Canada in the last few days?

**Hon. Allan J. MacEachen (Minister of Manpower and Immigration):** I would have to check. I have no information that he has come to Canada but it may be possible that he has been admitted under existing policy.

**Hon. W. G. Dinsdale (Brandon-Souris):** When the minister is investigating the matter would he also inquire whether the purpose of Mr. Carmichael's visit is to take part in the Quebec election?

**ENERGY**

**REQUEST FOR STATEMENT ON CANADA'S POLICY VIS-À-VIS UNITED STATES**

**Mr. T. C. Douglas (Nanaimo-Cowichan-The Islands):** My question is for the Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources. It arises out of a comment he made recently in the city of Washington, D.C., indicating that Canada-United States discussions would begin fairly soon at an official level in the hope that there might be discussions at cabinet level in June. I want to ask the minister whether, prior to those discussions, he would be prepared to make a statement on motions setting out Canada's energy policy vis-à-vis the United States?

**Hon. J. J. Greene (Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources):** I believe Canada's energy policy is very clear. It has not been altered for some years. If the hon. gentleman wants references which would clearly indicate to him the statutory authority for Canada's energy policy I would be very glad to get them for him.

**Mr. Baldwin:** Send him your speeches.

**Mr. Douglas (Nanaimo-Cowichan-The Islands):** Since the minister said in Washing-

*Inquiries of the Ministry*

ton that the sale of water is not negotiable, I am sure the House would be interested to know what items are negotiable. Does the minister not consider that the making of a statement would clarify the position of the Canadian government and allay many fears which have arisen in the minds of the Canadian people?

**Mr. Speaker:** With respect, I suggest to the hon. member and to the minister that a statement of the kind proposed ought to be made on motions. I wonder whether that is the suggestion now being put forward by the hon. member for Nanaimo-Cowichan-The Islands?

**Mr. Douglas (Nanaimo-Cowichan-The Islands):** That is exactly what I was asking. I was asking the minister whether he would be prepared to make such a statement on motions before these negotiations begin.

**Mr. Greene:** I do not think a statement on motions could fully cover the wide ambit of Canada's energy policy as affected by numerous statutes which include the National Energy Board Act, the legislation covering the sale of natural gas, and a wide variety of other statutory and historical authorities, which in total sum up Canada's national energy policy. But I will be glad to consider the hon. member's suggestion. Maybe a speech of mine would enlighten him in this regard.

**Mr. Hees:** Anything but that.

**BILL OF RIGHTS**

**ALLEGED VIOLATION IN REMOVAL OF CHAIRMAN OF ONTARIO LEGISLATURE COMMITTEE**

**Mr. Norman A. Cafik (Ontario):** In the absence of the Minister of Justice my question is directed to the President of the Privy Council. Having in mind the action taken by the provincial government of Ontario to unseat Dr. Richard Potter from the health committee because of his criticisms of the government's policy, would the President of the Privy Council consider whether some action could be taken on the ground that his removal constitutes a violation of the terms of the Canadian Bill of Rights?

**Some hon. Members:** Oh, oh!

**Hon. Donald S. Macdonald (President of the Privy Council):** That merits serious study, Mr. Speaker.