

The Address—Mr. Bigg

but must be seen to be done." It is trite to say that bad laws breed disrespect for all laws and when the citizens of a country lose respect for the law, can anarchy be far behind?

Recent events in this country should make all of us frighteningly aware of the urgency of enacting laws which command respect and of ridding ourselves of laws which breed contempt. This government can take one small step in this direction if it does away, once and for all, with the availability of the iniquitous *ex parte* injunction in the legal labour disputes.

I would like to thank the hon. members for giving my remarks such attention, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Peters: Mr. Speaker, before the hon. member resumes his seat—

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. Is the hon. member rising for the purpose of asking a question?

Mr. Peters: Yes, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. member may ask the question at this time.

Mr. Peters: I would like to ask the hon. member, assuming his suggestion were followed and all decisions and votes of a union—and we will agree that they are very large and complex, particularly in an area such as Sault Ste. Marie—were made public, would it not be only fair that the decisions of the other side also be made public?

● (3:50 p.m.)

Mr. Murphy: That is not my understanding, Mr. Speaker. It was not my intention to require a tabulation of the vote to be made public. At Sault Ste. Marie the vote would take place either in the Memorial Gardens or at the gate, wherever most people could gather. How those people vote and who votes would not be made public necessarily. That would be for the union to say. If the union decides to make the tally public, that is fine. That is the way a board of directors of a company operates.

Mr. F. J. Bigg (Pembina): Mr. Speaker, my first remarks in this debate on the Speech from the Throne will be to compliment the government for its gracious reference to Her Majesty and Her Majesty's forthcoming trip to Manitoba during that province's centennial year in 1970. I notice in the Speech from the

[Mr. Murphy.]

Throne that Canada intends to continue to be involved in the United Nations. I commend the government for that. One thing, however, disturbs me. In backing the United Nations, we must become conscious of our place in the whole world picture. The millenium has not yet arrived. We live in a dangerous world and although Canada, like most western nations, looks forward to 1,000 years of peace, no events of the last decade indicate that the millenium is yet here; nor can we hope to see it's arrival in the near future. Let us therefore not become ostrich-like in our approach to the defence of Canada. After all, no purpose is served by talking about the security of the free world or the true welfare of the world in general unless the people of the world are willing to pay the price of freedom. The price is that one must adopt a wide awake attitude, have a strong and stable country at home and be willing, in some cases, to pay the ultimate sacrifice.

I am not one of those who think we can live in a peaceful world where people do not pull their weight in defence matters. It is not good enough to look south of the border for the total defence of North America or the free world. I think the great republic to the south may have been misled at times. Although it is not popular to say so at this time, we owe a great debt of gratitude to the United States. Twice in my lifetime has that nation come to our aid. The aid has been somewhat late, but, nevertheless, they brought us to a victory which was earned at great expense and with the blood of the Armed Forces of the United States. If one must choose between the various types of propaganda emanating from various world centres, let us not listen to that propaganda which speaks only of the destruction of our powerful and friendly neighbour. When I say that our entire defence program should be formed in such a way that it takes into account the great republic to the south, I am sure I speak for the average Canadian.

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear.

Mr. Bigg: We cannot take any cheap shortcut to our own security, anyone who imagines that the United States alone can defend the free world is entertaining an empty and dangerous dream. Anyone thinking of the defence of Canada, therefore, must take a realistic approach to this question. Along with other men of good will I, too, want peace; but I hope we are willing to approach this question realistically. I will say no more on the subject at this time.