Business of Supply

that the documents to which he referred either be tabled or incorporated in his speech. When the hon, member first alluded to these documents he was content to ask that they be tabled. I reserved until the end of the hon. member's speech the occasion to ask the House whether there was consent to do that, but before asking the House whether there is any objection to the hon, member tabling these documents I should refer to his suggestion that these be incorporated as part of his speech. I suggest to the hon. member that it is contrary to the long established practice of the House that such documents be incorporated in a member's speech. If the hon. member wants to have part of such documents reported in Hansard as part of his speech, he has to quote them. In this way, they will appear as part of his speech.

There are exceptions to this general rule, such as official or ministerial statements, where official documents are, by consent of the House, allowed to be included as part of the ministerial or official government policy statement. This would not apply, I suggest, to a statement such as the one now being made by the hon. member.

I am not charging to the time of the hon. member the minutes I have taken to bring this to the attention of the House. In the circumstances, my suggestion would be that the documents to which he has referred might be tabled. These would then be available to all hon. members who might want to consult them in conjunction with the hon. member's speech.

Mr. Baldwin: On a point of order, Mr. Speaker. In view of the importance of these documents, the minister might be prepared to agree that they not be tabled or not be made a part of his speech, but made an appendix to *Hansard*.

Mr. Lang: Mr. Speaker, I have some hesitation about that departure from principle, especially in view of the variety of documents to which reference is being made. I would be delighted to have them tabled.

Mr. Speaker: From a procedural standpoint, I would have the same objections as those which I have just mentioned to the suggestion now made by the hon. member for Peace River that they be included as an appendix to *Hansard*. I think that, too, would not be in accordance with the established practice of the House. My suggestion should be taken into serious consideration by the hon. member that these important documents, tables and

[Mr. Speaker.]

statistics, be filed for the consideration of members who may want to study them in conjunction with the member's speech.

Mr. Korchinski: I have four different documents that I am particularly interested in having incorporated as part of my remarks. I reserve the right to ask to have them incorporated as part of my remarks rather than take up the time of the House by making the same request for each one individually.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. When I interrupted the hon. member, I thought he had concluded his remarks on this point.

Mr. Korchinski: Do I have permission to incorporate these tables into my remarks?

Mr. Speaker: I mentioned to the hon. member that this would be contrary to the practice of this House. I have sat in this Chair and have very often heard hon. members seek the same privilege. The question was never put to the House. Of course, the House is master of its own proceedings. Of course, if the House wants to have any documents, statistics and tables incorporated into a member's speech and appear as part of Hansard, it has the right to do so, but it would be a very serious departure from a long established practice. I suggest we should think twice before we allow this to be done. If this is done for the hon. member for Mackenzie it should be done for every other member and instead of receiving Hansard at eleven o'clock the next morning, we may not get it until 5 p.m. or 6 p.m. the next day.

• (3:40 p.m.)

Mr. Korchinski: I am quite willing, if Your Honour will allow me, to table these documents as part of the proceedings.

Mr. Speaker: Is that agreed?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Mr. Korchinski: How are we to get round this problem? It is evident we must put some money into the hands of the farmers. Over the last 15 years the amount of money put into the hands of the elevator companies, not only by the federal treasury but by the farmers themselves, is in the order of \$974 million. If we were to distribute this money among the farmers, something positive would be accomplished. I realize it is difficult to do without any storage at all, so there is one proposal I wish to leave with the government. It is that they should set up a series of inland terminals. Let us do away with a lot of the