

Questions

Mark Benjamin—exporting hay rake without a permit—fined \$50.

Laurent Lamothe—exporting hay rake without a permit—fined \$50.

Gaspesia Lumber Ltd.—exporting lumber of quality and quantity other than specified in permits—fined \$2,000.

Arthur E. Sabourin—exporting eggs without a permit—fined \$50.

Percy Weissman—exporting tinplate to destination other than specified in permits—fined \$1,025.

Willie Boudreau—exporting hay rake without permit—fined \$25.

Oliva Grenier—exporting hay rake without permit—fined \$25.

Joseph Finestone (first case)—exporting tinplate of quality other than specified in permit—fined \$25.

Joseph Finestone (second case)—exporting tinplate to destination other than specified in permit—fined \$125.

Sophy Segal, then carrying on business under the firm name and style of Canada Scrap Iron and Metal—exporting steel scrap in excess of permits—fined \$600.

Colwood Accessories Limited—false information in export permit application; transferring a permit; allowing another person to use permit re nylon yarn—fined \$1,750.

L. R. Shapiro, then carrying on business under the firm name and style of Lenex Corporation—allowing another person to use export permit re tinplate—fined \$1,000.

Kent Steel Products Limited—allowing another person to use export permit re tinplate—fined \$1,000.

Jacob Monster, then carrying on business under firm name and style of Wilmod Company—using another person's export permit re tinplate—fined \$1,000.

Morris Gurvey, then carrying on business under firm name and style of M. Gurvey and Company—allowing another person to use his export permit re radio parts—fined \$1,000.

Metropolitan Braids Limited—exporting nylon yarn without a permit and using another person's permit to export—fined \$1,000.

LETTER CARRIERS

Mr. Knowles:

1. What length of service must a letter carrier have in order to be eligible for appointment as a supervisory letter carrier?

2. What are the duties of a supervisory letter carrier?

3. Is there a pay differential between letter carriers on regular walks and supervisory letter carriers? If so, what is the amount of that differential?

4. Do supervisory letter carriers have priority over other letter carriers in the choice of time for annual leaves, regardless of length of service?

5. Have any changes in respect of arrangements for annual leaves, as between supervisory letter carriers and letter carriers on regular walks been made at any time since February 1, 1954? If so, on what dates were such changes made, and what were the terms of such changes?

6. Has the Post Office Department received representations, at any time since February 1, 1954, from associations or organizations representing letter carriers, in respect of arrangements for annual leaves?

7. What were the terms of such representations, and what steps has the Post Office Department taken to meet such employee representations?

Mr. Cote:

1. There is no fixed length of service. Positions are filled by promotion wherever practical.

2. To act as relief carriers in the case of sick leave, annual leave or any other type of leave. To act as rotation carriers in connection with the five-day 40-hour week. To check letter carrier walks. To assist in the training of inexperienced help and to perform such other related duties as may be required.

3. Yes, at the minimum, \$660, at the maximum, \$300.

4. Yes, in some offices.

5. Yes, as outlined on March 17, page 3071 of *Hansard*.

6. Yes.

7. That selection of annual leave periods be on a basis of length of service irrespective of rank. This has been granted where the practical requirements permit as follows:

(a) If as many suitable supervisory letter carriers as are required, in each letter carrier delivery office, can be recruited without their being given priority for annual leave, this is to be done.

(b) In those centres where it is not possible to recruit the necessary number of suitable supervisory letter carriers without these employees being given priority for annual leave, then they are to be given this priority within the block of ten walks.

SOUTH SASKATCHEWAN RIVER DAM AND IRRIGATION PROJECT

Mr. Diefenbaker:

What expenditures have been made on the South Saskatchewan river dam and irrigation project, month by month from August 1953, to date?

Mr. McCubbin:

1953: August 31, \$50,232.56; September 30, \$45,849.70; October 31, \$39,918.34; November 30, \$54,555.81; December 13, \$45,758.99.

1954: January 31, \$31,291.65; February 28, \$61,261.23.