lost revenue, I call attention to some statements made in this return. Here are the names of a few assigners and assignors, with the consideration granted:

Assignor.	Assignee.	Consideration.
Donald Gunne.	E. P. Leacock.	\$ 4,300 00
E. P. Leacock.	Thomas Renwick.	25,000 00
James Kent.	H. S. Strathy.	not given
Joseph Shareman,	A. W. Ross.	300 00
E. P. Lescock.	Thomas Renwick.	25,000 00
A. W. Ross.	H. S. Strathy.	75,000 00
D. McFadden.	Germyn & Bolton,	9,000 00
Thomas Wells.	H. B. Beard.	8,000 00
Jos. G. Dawes.	Andrew R. Potter.	8,000 00
John Taylor,	Wm. J. Bishorp.	10 000 00
Smith & Muir.	Keewatin Lumbering and	
	Manufacturing Com-	
	pany. Limited.	1,000 00

There are a lot of transactions where the licenses were transferred for \$1 and "other valuable considerations." That is the character of most of these returns, the probability being that these gentlemen thought it expedient to conceal the amount received or paid, consequently making their en'ry of the assignment as if for \$1 "and other valuable considerations." Here are cases, one for \$75,000, two for \$25,000, one for \$11,960, for \$9,000, for \$8,000 in two cases, and so on. These cases are contained in this one return where limits granted by the Government at \$5 per mile have changed hands for these large sums. There is the case I have referred to of the member for Lincoln getting a \$100,000 limit for \$250; the case on Hunter's Island, a limit worth \$650,000 being got for \$7,500. In most cases the character and amount paid in these transactions are concealed, but these glimpses we are permitted to have are enough to convince this House, if it is open to conviction, are enough to convince this country, that the policy of the Government with regard to timber limits has not been in the public interest, and has not been an honest policy. Now, Sir, look for a moment at the contrast between the policy of this Government and that of the Province of Ontario Since 1870 that Province has placed 7,986 miles of timber territory under license, and it has received bonuses on those transactions as follows: In 1871, 467 miles brought bonuses of \$117,000; in 1872, 4,592 miles brought bonuses of \$531,000; in 1877, 438 miles brought bonuses of \$78,136; in 1831, 1,412 miles brought \$730,000; in 1885, 1,007 miles brought \$326,000, or 7,986 miles brought bonuses of \$1,785,000. Under Orders in Council this Dominion has placed under license over three times that amount of land, and has received bonuses scarcely amounting practically to nothing. This is a sufficient commentary upon the failure of hon. gentlemen opposite to discharge their trust properly, in refusing the demand of the motion made by the leader of the Opposition in this House, in March, 1882, when he declared that the policy of granting timber limits without competition was a vicious one and ought to be abandoned. Now, Sir, my hon, friend from Wellington (Mr. Orton), a few moments ago, wanted to know some of the names. As I do not desire to place a whole return in Hansard I have prepared a short statement containing a few of the entries for timber limits, amounting to 600, that I have culled from three returns, and there is yet one year to be heard from, and that, probably, one of the worst years, namely, from February, 1885, to February, 1886:

Members of House of Commons and Senate who have received Timber Limits by Order in Council for themselves.

HYP. MONTPLAISIR, M.P.-Order in Council for self, N. Saskstchewan, 50 miles. O. C. for self, Rainy Lake, 50 miles, Dec. 17, 1883.

C. C. COLBY, M.P.

O. C. for self, 50 miles, Jan. 3, 1883.

Hon. G. W. Howlan—
O. O. for self, 50 miles, Woody River, March 23, 1883. O. C. for self, 50 miles, Birch River, April 29, 1883.

M. K. DICKINSON, M.P.— O. C. forgelf, Little Swan River, July 24, 1882. Mr. CHARLTON.

JOHN WHITE, M.P. O. C. for self, Smoky Lake, 50 miles, March 23, 1883. O. C. for self, N. Saskatchewan, 56 miles, April 29, 1884.

Hon. Wm. Muirhead-O. C. for self, 50 miles, Woody River, Man., Oct. 3, 1882. O. C. for self, 50 miles, Porcupine Hills, April 30, 1884.

JOHN ROCHESTER, M.P. (application when a member)-O. C. for self, 50 miles, Manitoba, June 30, 1882.

L. McCallun, M.P. O. C. for self, 50 miles, Birch Lake, Alberta, May 15, 1884.

Duncan Macmillan, M.P.— O. C. for self, 50 miles, Wasken Bay, Man., April 17, 1882.

J. B. DAOUST, M. P. (letter to Sir John, April 18, 1882, asking for support)-O. C. for J. B. Daoust & Co., 50 miles, Pigeon River, May 3, 1883.

H. A. WARD, M.P.-

O. C. for self, 50 miles, Red Deer River, Nov. 1, 1883.

Mr. WHITE (Cardwell). He was not a member at that

Mr. CHARLTON. He was not, but he was a prospective member, and was treated by the Government to favors for their good boys. Many of those names I shall read,

C. E. HICKEY, M.P. (co-applicant with Wm. Brodeur)-O. C. for self and partner, 50 miles, Lake Kogwankok, Man., April 30, 1884.

WM. ELLIOTT, ex-M.P.—
O. C. for self, 50 miles, Jackfish River, April 24, 1883.

Hon. A. W. Ogilvin— O. C. for self, 50 miles, May 9, 1883.

OSCAR FULTON, ex-M.P.—
O. C. 50 miles, N. Saskatchewan, March 24, 1884.

When I spoke in Milton last January I referred to Mr. Blain in this connection, and he denounced me as a liar for having said that he had obtained an Order in Council. I find, however, as follows:-

DAVID BLAIN, ex-M.P.—
Application for self, March 12, 1885. O. C. for self, 50 miles, Hunter's Island, Aug. 1, 1885. License issued to Blain, Oct. 24, 1884. Recorded in Liber A, folio 127 and 128. L H. MASSUS, M.P.

O.C. for self, 50 miles, Lake Dauphin, Man., Feb. 3, 1883.

Here are eighteen members of the House of Commons who have Orders in Council-honest members of the House who have had the honesty to face the music themselves, who made application and got their Order in Council like little men. There are others who have used stool pigeons and obtained orders in other names. I have traced one or two. and I am satisfied there are a good many more. We now come to-

Members of Local Legislatures who have received Orders in Council for themselves. H. ROBILLARD, M.P.P.

O.O for self, 50 miles, Keewatin, Aug. 24, 1883.

LOUIS DUHAMSL, M.P.P., Hull, Que.— O.C. for self, Sturgeon River, 50 miles, Mar. 18, 1880.

W. Monck, M.P.P.— O.C. for self, 50 miles, Manitoba, May 21, 1884.

LOUIS TELLIER, M.P.P., Quebec-O.O., 50 miles, Dauphin River, Man.

HENRI G. MALHIOT, M.P.P., Quebec-O.O, 50 miles, West Shore Lake, Winnipeg.

H. Montplaisie, M.P.P., Quebec-O.C., 50 miles, Dec. 17, 1883.

T. Ross, M.P.P., Cornwall— O.C., 50 miles, Keewatin, for self and brother, Mar. 14, 1882.

Then there is a long list-

Members of the House of Commons and Senate who have applied for Limits successfully in behalf of friends.

Limits successfully in behalf of friends.

8. R. Hasson, M.P.—

Application for H. Symons (son-in-law), June 23, 1882. O.C. to H. Symons, 50 miles, July, 28, 1882. Application for James Robb (editor local paper) O.C. to James Robb, 50 miles, Nov. 22, 1882. Application for S. S. Fuller (Stratford), April 14, 1882. O.C. to S. S. Fuller, 48 miles, Manitoba, Dec. 15, 1882. Application for J. Graydon Smith (Stratford). July 23, 1883. O.C. to J. Graydon Smith, 50 miles, Keewatin, Aug. 11, 1883. Application for Wm. Morton (Wellesly, Ont.), May 10, 1883. O.C. to Wm. Morton, 50 miles, Sturgeon River, June 7, 1883. Application for James T. Woods (Stratford), Mar. 15, 1883. O.C. to James T. Woods, 50 miles, Ecewatin, Aug. 11, 1883.