

So he left us; while his year-book,
 Stirring up such fume and fret
 Well-concealing, as it still is
 For we haven't seen it yet.

From us Juniors, some tales sadder
 Lustre steal and spoil our fame;
 How debating, Noughty-Seven
 Certainly has lost its name.

Can we help it, if man speaking
 Merely plays with thoughts profound?

But we cannot blame our Shaver,
 Who most nobly stood his ground.

So we wish that more were like him,
 Life is sweet to him, 'tis said,
 For he sees an M.A., brilliant,
 With a halo round her head.

Yet debating, there are others
 Climbing up the stair of fame,
 Are they maidens? Oh, ye masters,
 Bow your heads for very shame!

Bow your heads, and ponder sadly,
 Sadly keep your banners furled.
 Speak no word, until we women
 Revolutionize the world.

From this genius, you will hear more,
 When she reads your mystic fate,
 Mark her colleague—she, a maiden,
 Eloquent, born to debate.

Though the maidens who are with us
 Fain would obstacles deride,
 Some would never cross the Jordan,
 Though they travel far and wide.

But how useless 'twould be for me
 To enumerate them all,
 For I couldn't tell their graces
 Though I took from spring to fall.

Of the men, if I endeavoured
 Each to name, with him I'd start,
 Him, who lives down there, Atwater,
 Blue-nose—yet a gen'rous heart.

But words fail me in expression
 Of men's virtues! What a sum
 Should I tell them! Would I mention
 Some fine jaws—for chewing gum?

Now I'll tell you of our vict'ry
 On December, second day.
 We were bound that Alma Mater
 Ought to hear what we could say.

So we sent up two great statesmen,
 Who right well their honor wear.
 To appreciate it fully
 You must go and see them there.

Yes, at last the Fates propitious,
 Having worked out their design,
 Smile to see writ large "'07"
 In the chronicles of time.

—MARY B. MACFARLANE.

February, 1906.

POLITICAL SCIENCE DEBATE.

The second of the series of debates to be held under the auspices of the Political Science Club took place on Feb. 1st, inst.

The subject of debate was: "Resolved, that the present Immigration Policy of the Government is not in the best interests of the country." Messrs. Stott and Matheson supported the affirmative, and Messrs. McGillivray and McIntosh the negative side of the question.

By common consent the debate was one of the best ever held before the Political Science Club. Both sides had an abundance of material. And the form in which the arguments were presented by the respective debaters was excellent. Mr. Stott for the affirmative dealt with the practical side of the question, claiming that under the present system an undesirable class of immigrants were brought in-