XVIII., 215, 1886. My specimen is, I believe, now in the British Museum, and, I think, unlabelled. It is apparently a hoary, boreal form of *pudens*, but may also be restricted to Anticosti. I have not had sufficient material to decide the question.

٦٠.

e,

·e-

ુi-

. ?

m

es -e

n ry

re

a I

٦y

i-

ut

is

r.

r-

r.

е

е

t

st

.y

ıS

е

n

٠,

ıS

d

11

SPHIDA OBLIQUA, Walk.

Prof. Smith has shown that my identification of our S. obliquata with this species of Walker's is correct, and the species must be known as above. I separate Sphida from Arzama, or, as it must be now known. Bellura, on account of the clypeal tubercle, exactly as Ochria, Hübn. (=Gortyna, Auct.,) is separable from Gortyna, Hübn., (= Hydracia, Auct.) As I use an acknowledged generic character, I do not see why it should here fail of recognition. In some way, now incomprehensible to me, I failed to see Walker's species in 1867, when I was there with a specimen to identify. The drawer may have been overlooked, or the species not then in place—probably the latter, as Mr. Walker was then employed in arranging the material. I saw then the type only of Arzama densa, and recognized it at once as allied to our species of Sphida. I did not then know vulnifica, which I described in 1872 in Philadelphia. left my type there and there it must yet be. The type of densa did not recall to me vulnifica, which is more yellow; I have all along thought these were two species; one "reddish," smaller perhaps, densa, and another, vulnifica, differently coloured. Of this latter I regarded melanobyea as a variety with blackish anal tuft. I did not see the type of Bellura gortynoides, Walk., C. B. M., 32, 465; the description more resembles vulnifica than that of densa does. As I have not seen my type of vulnifica to compare with my material or with melanopyga, it should be found and studied. I have now myself no, or little doubt, we have to do with a single variable reddish on yellow species, and that Prof. Smith's synonomy will be found to be correct, p. 181, where the name is mispelled gortynides. (Other cases of miswritten names are: tranquila, for tranquilla; synochites, for synochitis; appasionata, for appassionata, etc.) From this variable brighter coloured species, Bellura diffusa, Grt., is totally distinct. It has been collected by Mr. Moffat, I believe, in Canada. Where my type is now I cannot for the moment recollect. seems not to be in the British Museum. A type of Sphida obliquata is or was in the Central Park Museum. The Arzamina, then, are a group of noctuid genera with aquatic larvæ, having affinities with Nonagria and of a peculiar Bombycid appearance, owing to the tufted female abdo-