

There are a few inaccuracies and omissions among the Bombyces, which I should like to notice:—

Family Nycteolidæ, page 23, add *Sarrothripa reveyana*, S. V. (See Hy. Edw., Bull. 35, U. S. Nat. Mus., p. 55).

Family Lithosiidæ, No. 966, *Nola minuscula*, Zeller, should have precedence as it was described in 1872 (Verh., d. k. k. Zool. Bot., Gesell. XXII., 455), while *fuscata*, Grt., was not described till 1881 (Papilio, I., 76).

Family Arctiidæ, p. 27, No. 1113a, *Arctia sciurus*. Bdv., is given as a variety of *Euchaetes collaris*. Mr. Hy. Edwards once stated to me that it was the same as his *E. yosemite*. This would be a more probable synonymy, *sciurus* having precedence.

Family Liparidæ, p. 28, No. 1166, Walker's *clandestina* was referred to *Gluphisia* by Mr. Grote (CAN. ENT., IX, 21), but I do not know it, and it may really be *Dasychira*.

Family Notodontidæ, p. 30, add *Gluphisia avimacula*, Hudson. No. 1277 is the same as No. 1285, *Pheosia dimidiata*, H.-S., and I am of the opinion that *P. rimosa* and *P. californica* are synonyms of this. (See Psyche, VI., 194.) No. 1289, *Edema albicosta* is given as a synonym of *E. albifrons*. The forms can be distinguished, and I am not aware that they have been proven varieties. Nos. 1300 and 1302 are better referred to *Schizura* in my opinion. (See Psyche, VI., 177.) Page 31, No. 1339 is probably a synonym of No. 1345. No. 1342a is not a variety of *Cerura occidentalis*, but of *C. cinerea*. Perhaps I am responsible for this error, as my table in CAN. ENT., XXIII., 87, may be a little ambiguous, for I placed the var. *cinereoides* before *cinerea* instead of after it on account of the arrangement of the table. No. 1343, *C. scitiscrupta* is given as a synonym of *C. cinerea*. This is surely a mistake. So far as I know it is a good species, and I have referred *candida*, Lint., as a variety of it, and not of *cinerea*, as it stands. *C. candida*, Lintn., has no affinity with *cinerea*, but the three forms, *scitiscrupta*, *candida* and *multiscrupta*, constitute a distinct group of the genus. Add *Cerura modesta*, Hudson.

Family Ceratocampidæ, p. 32, add *Dyocampa riversii*, Behr.

Family Bombycidæ, p. 33, *Hemileuca neumogeni*, Hy. Edw., seems to have been overlooked. No. 1351, *Clisiocampa strigosa*, Str., is a synonym of *C. constricta*, Str. *Gastropacha alescensis*, Pack., seems to be wanting. (See Stretch, Zyg. and Bomb., N. A., p. 113.) No. 1419½ should be *Thauma ribis*, to keep the original orthography. (See Hy. Edw., Proc. Cal., Acad. Sci., V., 265.) And, finally, *Eutheca mora*, Grote, has been left out. (See Bull., U. S. Geol. and Geog. Sur. Terr., Hayden, VI., 257.)

The list will be the standard for some time to come, and should be in the possession of every entomologist.

HARRISON G. DYAR.