

At a recent trial at the Assizes in Liverpool Mr. Justice Bruce, in the absence of counsel who had been retained for one of the parties, permitted his solicitor to examine the witnesses and address the jury on behalf of his client; and see 80 L. T. Jour. 156, 157. In 1895 a solicitor claimed the right to be heard before the Irish Privy Council on behalf of his client, but he was refused audience; in that case it did not appear that counsel had been retained: see 100 L. T. Jour. 45. In *Reg. v. Maybury*, 11 L. T. 566 it was held that where a party appears in court by counsel and the case is on, and the counsel has been fully seized of it, his authority cannot be revoked by his client so as to give his client the right himself to address the court. But if counsel is not seized, as when upon a motion, the hearing has proceeded no farther than the reading of affidavits, and the counsel has addressed no arguments to the court, he may in that case at the instance of his client, be permitted to withdraw, and the client himself may be heard. In *Newton v. Chaplin*, 10 C. B. 356, a plaintiff, who was a barrister, was not allowed to be heard on his own case, after his counsel had addressed the court, and in a recent case in the Supreme Court the counsel for one of the parties having been heard out of his turn and having left the court, his client claimed the right to be heard on points subsequently raised by the counsel on the other side and not touched upon by his own counsel, but his application was refused.

---

We publish in another place the recent judgment of the Supreme Court of Nova Scotia in *The Queen v. Halifax Electric Tramway Co.*, which brought up for consideration the law affecting Sunday observance in relation to the legislation of that province touching the question, the Court holding (the Chief Justice dissenting), that the Provincial Legislature has no power (except possibly in certain particulars) to deal with the question. The case is one of general interest, especially in Ontario, and we therefore publish the judgments in extenso, although it only bears