

DIARY FOR MARCH.

1. Friday.....St. David.
3. Sunday.....1st Sunday in Lent.
5. Tuesday.....Court of Appeal sits. County Court Jury and Non-Jury Sittings in York. York changed to Toronto, 1834.
10. Sunday.....2nd Sunday in Lent. Prince of Wales married, 1863.
13. Wednesday.....Lord Mansfield born, 1704.
16. Saturday.....Queen Victoria made Empress of India, 1876.
17. Sunday.....3rd Sunday in Lent. St. Patrick.
18. Monday.....Arch. McLean, 8th C.J. of Q.B. Sir John B. Robinson, C.J. Court of Appeal, 1862.
19. Tuesday.....P. M. S. Vankoughnet, 2nd Chancellor U. C., 1862.
23. Saturday.....Sir George Arthur, Lieut.-Gov. of U. C., 1838.
24. Sunday.....4th Sunday in Lent.
25. Monday.....Annunciation.
26. Tuesday.....Bank of England incorporated, 1649.
28. Thursday.....Canada ceded to France, 1632.
30. Saturday.....B.N.A. Act assented to, 1867. Lord Metcalf, Gov.-Gen., 1813.
31. Sunday.....5th Sunday in Lent. Slave trade abolished by Great Britain, 1807.

Reports.

ONTARIO.

COUNTY COURT OF LEEDS AND GRENVILLE.

LEVIS T. GARSON, PURSER, ET AL.

Note: trial—Improper conduct of juryman—Viewing premises—Waiver of objection.

Held. that the impropriety of a juryman inspecting the premises under litigation by himself, during the trial, apart from the rest of the jury, and unknown to the parties or their counsel, cannot be urged if the party seeking to take advantage of it was aware of the circumstance during the trial, and took no exception until after the verdict against him.

[BROCKVILLE, JAN. 14.—McDONALD, CO. J.]

Action to recover damages for injury caused to plaintiff's house by improper blasting in the excavation of a sewer drainage. Tried before a jury at the last December sittings.

Application for a new trial based on the following circumstances:

On the morning of the second day of the trial, before opening of the court one of the jury examined the house in question without the knowledge of the parties to the suit, or their counsel or solicitors, and had a conversation with one Miller, on the premises, who had been or was in the employ of the defendants. At the opening of the court this juryman took his place on the jury. Being seen there by Miller, the latter informed one of the defendants that the juryman had been at the plaintiff's house in the morning viewing the premises. During recess, on the second day, the jury, by agreement of the counsel, visited the house and viewed it. Verdict for plaintiff, with damages assessed at \$200.