

of Jesus sufficiently clear, was the law not explicit enough that we should need the Holy Ghost to take of the things of Jesus and reveal them to us?

What place does the Bible occupy in the economy of God in this dispensation?

Practically the generally accepted belief is that God has ceased speaking, that there is no longer any necessity for speaking, that everything has been said that needs to be said, that the Bible is the only oracle of God, and all that man need do in this Protestant era is to exercise the right of private judgment, interpret the Bible as conscience dictates, adopt or originate a creed, join or start a sect. In this way has the Protestant Church been rent into innumerable fragments.

In defence of "Those whom the Son makes free, are free indeed," the freedom of the sects is confined to the limit of the creeds. The devotees of every sect are free to believe truth contained in the creed of the sect, but woe betide that unfortunate to whom the "teaching" comes that is not contained in the creed.

For such an one even Daniel was "troubled in his head," and wrote: "I saw a fourth beast dreadful and terrible and strong exceedingly; and it had great iron teeth; it devoured and brake in pieces, and stamped the residue with the feet of it. It was diverse from all the beasts that were before it. . . And the Ancient of days (the Holy Ghost) did sit, whose garment was white as snow, and the hair of His head like the pure wool; His throne was like the fiery flame, and His wheels as burning fire. A fiery stream (testimony of saints) issued and came forth from Him: thousand thousands ministered unto Him, and ten thousand times ten thousand stood before Him: the beast was slain and his body destroyed, and given to the burning flame. And the time came when the horn that has eyes and a mouth that spake very great things, whose look was more stout than his fellows, I beheld and the same horn made war with the saints, and prevailed against them, until the Ancient of days came and judgment was given to the saints of the Most High; and the time came that the saints possessed the kingdom. And he (creedism) shall speak

great words against the Most High, and shall wear out the saints of the Most High and think to change time and laws: and they shall be given into His hands until the time and times and the dividing of time. But the judgment shall sit and the kingdoms and dominion under the whole heaven shall be given to the people of the saints of the Most High. Hitherto is the end of the matter."

John the Revelator also appeared to have visions of the era when men should be ruled with a "rod of iron" (creeds based upon the Bible) by one who sat on a white horse called Faithful and True, who in righteousness doth judge and make war, whose eyes are a flame of fire, and upon whose head are many diadems. His name is called "the Word of God."

Is it possible that man can so use the Bible as to practically make it rule the nations with a rod of iron? Is man safe under legalistic Bible rule? Do all the creators and leaders of all the sects acknowledge that the Holy Ghost alone is responsible for their beliefs? How much creed is needed by one who is recklessly abandoned to the guidance of the Holy Ghost? How far away from ancient landmarks or the Bible will such an one get when so guided? Has the time come when men shall all be taught of God? And did God intend the Bible or the Holy Ghost or both to be the teacher in that time? If the former, where is it written? The old version, "All Scripture is given by inspiration of God, and is profitable for doctrine," etc., has been changed by revisers to "Every Scripture inspired of God is also profitable for teaching, for reproof, for correction, for instruction which is in righteousness." Did the revisers mean to intimate that some portion of the Scriptures were not inspired, or did they dare face the question of whether God can yet give Scripture, and that what He has to say has not all been said?

If the Holy Ghost was not to be our teacher why did Jesus say "He shall teach you all things?" If He intended to limit the Holy Ghost in His teaching to the contents of the Bible, what about the New Testament which was not then written?