



"THE GREATEST POSSIBLE GOOD TO THE GREATEST POSSIBLE NUMBER."

VOL. V. No. II

BEETON, ONT., JUNE 5 1889.

WHOLE No. 219

EDITORIAL

AN EXPLANATION WANTED.

IN the weekly market quotations of the Catholic Chronicle, published in Montreal, there appears each week under the heading of "Country Produce" the following paragraph:

HONEY.—The market rules quiet and steady at 14c to 15c per lb. for Western white clover in comb, extracted selling at 10½c to 12c in pails, and imitation honey at 9c to 10c.

We do not know from what the publishers derive their information, and in the best interests of the pursuit which it is our privilege to follow and foster we must ask them to furnish us with the names of their correspondents, also for a sample of the honey which they claim to be "imitation."

Our attention has been called to this by Mr. J. Foot, of Halifax, himself a thorough bee-keeper. May be that some such vile stuff was put in the market in Montreal, and so long as they call it by its proper name, viz., "imitation honey," we cannot much complain; yet we have some curiosity to see a sample of the stuff and taste it, if it be fit to taste, and are making an effort to obtain some.

As will be noticed by reference to our advertising columns we have transferred the privilege of selling individual rights

for the manufacture of the "Heddon Hive" to the original patentee, Mr. Jas. Heddon to whom all applications must in future be made.

For the CANADIAN BEE JOURNAL.

TRASH DESIGNATED HONEY.

SEND you to-day a sample of what was offered here by a gentleman dealer as Californian honey. Such nasty stuff should by no means be offered to any customer as "money" but should be termed "Honey Guano" and sold as fertiliser. I hold that the importation and sale of such stuff under the name of "honey", is sure to destroy our honey market and in the interest of both the Canadian producer and consumer, I call upon you Mr. Editor to give that stuff a close examination, and make its merits—if it has any other than what I assigned to it known through the C. B. J.

E. SCHULZ.

Kilworthly, April 26th, 1889.

The sample of honey which you sent us is without doubt the worst that we have ever examined.

We do not know that we should call it a sample of honey because it is as foreign from what we would term honey as the east is from the west.

We firmly believe that it is a slight mixture of some poor honey with some other material, it might be guano or any other vile stuff that you could imagine. The offering of such material for sale, and calling it honey should be a criminal offence and we think it the duty of