and again defended the liberties of Germany. The confiderer has flourished upon that, but has he informed the public against whom the defended those liberties? Has he told his countrymen, that it was against the encroachments and usurpations of the house of Auftria; that tyrannical power, which the government of Great-Britain and her allies are endeavouring, at this very time, to withstand? Had the confiderer been candid enough to explain this matter, it might have faved himfelf and me, and perhaps the public, no little labour. He has taken all advantages of reasoning from the former systems that prevailed in Europe, but he has not told us that those systems exist no more. He has not been ingenuous enough to fay, that there is no period in hiftory, before the prefent, in which the houses of Bourbon and Austria, like Herod and Pontius Pilate, agreed in the crucifixion of public liberty: nor is there in all his pamphlet, a fingle paragraph upon that fubject, though it is the only fair, the only candid, the only conftitutional, topic, upon which a confiderer on the prefent German war ought to proceed. If he proceeds not upon that, he fays lefs than nothing. If he proceeds upon that, the conduct of Great-Britain, and her govern-