

Point of Order

Danis
 Darling
 Daubney
 de Cotret
 de Jong
 Della Noce
 Dick
 Domm
 Dorin
 Duguay
 Duplessis
 Edwards
 Ellis
 Epp
 (Provencher)
 Fennell
 Fontaine
 Forrestall
 Foster
 Fraleigh
 Fretz
 Fulton
 Gagliano
 Gagnon
 Garneau
 Gauthier
 Girard
 Gormley
 Gray
 (Bonaventure—Îles-de-la-Madeleine)
 Gray
 (Windsor West)
 Greenaway
 Grisé
 Grondin
 Guilbault
 (Saint-Jacques)
 Guilbault
 (Drummond)
 Gustafson
 Halliday
 Hamelin
 Hamilton
 Hardey
 Harvey
 Hawkes
 Heap
 Hicks
 Hnatyshyn
 Holtmann
 Hopkins
 Horner
 Howie
 Hudon
 Isabelle
 James
 Jardine
 Jelinek
 Jepsen
 Jewett

Johnson
 (Bonavista—Trinity—Conception)
 Joncas
 Jourdenais
 Kaplan
 Keeper
 Kelleher
 Kempling
 Kilgour
 Killens
 King
 Ladouceur
 Landry
 Langdon
 Lapierre
 Layton
 Leblanc
 Lesick
 Lewis
 MacDonald
 (Kingston and the Islands)
 MacDougall
 (Timiskaming)
 MacKay
 Maily
 Malone
 Mantha
 Marin
 Martin
 Masse
 Mayer
 Mazankowski
 McCrossan
 McCuish
 McDermid
 McDonald
 (Broadview—Greenwood)
 McInnes
 McKinnon
 McKnight
 McMillan
 Merrithew
 Minaker
 Mitchell
 Mitges
 Moore
 Mulrone
 Nicholson
 (Niagara Falls)
 Nystrom
 Oberle
 O'Neil
 Oostrom
 Orlikow
 Ouellet
 Paproski
 Pennock
 Pépin

Peterson
 Pietz
 Price
 Prud'homme
 Ravis
 Redway
 Reimer
 Ricard
 Riis
 Rodriguez
 Rompkey
 Rossi
 St-Julien
 Schellenberg
 (Nanaimo—Alberni)
 Schellenberger
 (Wetaskiwin)
 Scott
 (Victoria—Haliburton)
 Scott
 (Hamilton—Wentworth)
 Scowen
 Shields
 Siddon
 Skelly
 Sparrow
 Speyer
 Suluk
 Tardif
 (Richmond—Wolfe)
 Tardif
 (Charlottesville)
 Taylor
 Thacker
 Tobin
 Toupin
 Towers
 Tremblay
 (Québec-East)
 Tupper
 Turner
 (Ottawa—Carleton)
 Turner
 (Vancouver Quadra)
 Valcourt
 Van De Walle
 Vézina
 Vincent
 Wenman
 White
 Wilson
 (Swift Current—Maple Creek)
 Winegard
 Wise
 Witer
 Young—200

NAYS

Members

Berger
 Caccia
 Finestone

Johnston
 (Saint-Henri—Westmount)
 McLaughlin

Nickerson
 Penner—7

● (1630)

Mr. Speaker: I declare the motion carried.

Mr. Robinson: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. I appreciate the opportunity afforded a Member who has abstained to make a brief statement and give an explanation.

I am profoundly opposed to those provisions of the Meech Lake Accord which may weaken the important role—

Some Hon. Members: Order.

Mr. Speaker: There is a tradition in this place according to which Member who has abstained may rise briefly to give an explanation. I would ask Hon. Members to extend that courtesy.

Mr. Robinson: Mr. Speaker, I am profoundly opposed to those provisions of the Meech Lake Accord which may weaken the important role of the federal Government and allow for the possibility that fundamental rights of women and minorities as set out in the Charter may be overridden, diminish the status of the Yukon and Northwest Territories, and show a lack of recognition and respect for the aboriginal peoples of Canada.

[*Translation*]

But at the same time, Mr. Speaker, I regretted Quebec's exclusion of the Constitutional Accord of 1981, as well as I have always favoured the recognition of Quebec's distinct society which requires, for a fundamental part of this character to be guaranteed, that the principles of Bill 101 be obeyed and applied.

[*English*]

For those reasons, Mr. Speaker, I have abstained on this most important vote.

* * *

POINT OF ORDER

ALLOTTED DAYS—PRECEDENCE OF OPPOSITION MOTIONS

Mr. Nelson A. Riis (Kamloops—Shuswap): Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order to suggest that this should not be an allotted day. I refer to the terms of Standing Order 58(5) and Citation 478 of Beauchesne's Fifth Edition which reads:

Under the terms of S. O. 58(5), twenty-five days are allotted to the business of Supply in each year. Although technically the business under discussion is government business, motions given precedence on these allotted days may be moved only by Members in opposition to the Government. To this extent, there is a distinction between the business of supply and other government business with respect to S. O. 18.

I submit that, as a result of today, the motion given precedence was, of course, an initiative by the Government. For that reason, I believe that today ought not to be an allotted day.

Hon. Doug Lewis (Minister of State and Minister of State (Treasury Board)): Mr. Speaker, I caught my hon. friend's argument but I would submit that the wording of the motion on allotted days is entirely within the control of the New Democratic Party or the Liberal Party, whichever one has the allotted day. It is my understanding that today's allotted day was for the New Democrats. Surely having drafted the order themselves, they, cannot then argue that what they have put on the Order Paper is, therefore, out of order. It has been