

## Order Paper Questions

[English]

## PETITION

MR. THACKER—EXTENSION OF HOURS AT PORTS OF ENTRY

**Mr. Blaine A. Thacker (Lethbridge-Foothills):** Mr. Speaker, I have the honour to present a petition from some 331 citizens of southern Alberta, many of whom I know. This petition is very broadly based. It calls for an extension of hours at the Del Bonita and Carway customs ports of entry from the United States. It asks for longer hours during the winter months. The current situation where they close early causes a great inconvenience to many people including businessmen, individuals and farmers. It is critical that the Government seriously consider this petition. I am hoping that my fellow colleagues will write to the Minister in this respect.

\* \* \*

## EXECUTION OF MASS MURDERERS ACT

MEASURE TO ESTABLISH

**Mr. Gordon Taylor (Bow River)** moved for leave to introduce Bill C-240, an Act respecting the execution of mass murderers.

**Mr. Speaker:** Is it the pleasure of the House that the Hon. Member shall have leave to introduce the Bill?

**Some Hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Mr. Taylor:** Mr. Speaker, it is a pleasure to introduce a Bill respecting the execution of mass murderers, under which any person found guilty beyond the shadow of a doubt of killing ten or more human beings will be executed by hanging. Clifford Robert Olson is at the top of the list.

Motion agreed to, Bill read the first time and ordered to be printed.

**Mr. Speaker:** When shall the Bill be read a second time? At the next sitting of the House?

**Some Hon. Members:** Agreed.

\* \* \*

[Translation]

## TRANSPORT

STUDY BY STANDING COMMITTEE OF NEW AIR POLICY

**Mr. John Evans (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council):** Mr. Speaker, consultations having been held with representatives of all Parties in the House, I now wish to ask the unanimous consent of the House to move, seconded by the Hon. Member for Renfrew-Nipissing-Pembroke (Mr. Hopkins)—

[English]

—the following motion:

That the Standing Committee on Transport be authorized to study and report on the following questions relating to the "New Canadian Air Policy" as announced by the Minister of Transport on May 10, 1984:

- (1) At what pace should the process toward the elimination of regulation be pursued?
- (2) How should the Aeronautics Act be amended to make it consistent with a more permissive regulatory approach, and in particular
  - (a) how should the entry test of "public convenience and necessity" be revised?
  - (b) what provisions, if any, should govern the remaining controls on pricing?
  - (c) what special regulation is needed of airline mergers and acquisitions, and of foreign ownership?
- (3) Should further instalments of liberalization occur at predetermined intervals to stimulate adjustment and facilitate planning, or should any further changes be preceded by reviews, perhaps at pre-set dates?
- (4) Should Air Canada be broken up and/or privatized to improve the company's efficiency and to give smaller, privately-owned airlines a more equal opportunity to compete?
- (5) As further regulatory liberalization proceeds, is there a need for direct operating subsidies to protect communities against loss of service as a result of regulatory change and for special labour protection provisions to protect airline employees against a related loss of employment?
- (6) Does the Minister's working definition of northern Canada in the new Canadian air policy reflect the needs of the communities in northern Canada; and

That the Committee have the power to retain the services of expert, professional, technical and clerical staff as may be deemed necessary and after approval by the Clerk of the House of Commons;

That the Committee be empowered to adjourn from place to place within Canada when the House is not sitting in relation to the "New Canadian Air Policy", as announced by the Minister of Transport, and that the necessary staff do accompany the Committee; and

That the Committee report back to the House by November 30, 1984.

**Mr. Speaker:** Does the Parliamentary Secretary to the President of the Privy Council have unanimous consent to move the motion?

**Some Hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Mr. Speaker:** Hon. Members have heard the terms of the motion. Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the motion?

**Some Hon. Members:** Agreed.

Motion agreed to.

\* \* \*

[Translation]

## QUESTIONS ON THE ORDER PAPER

(Questions answered orally are indicated by an asterisk.)

**Mr. John Evans (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council):** Mr. Speaker, the following questions will be answered today: Nos. 18, 19, 191 (Revised) and 745.