

(b) the railway companies and the Government of Canada accept a continuing obligation to provide producers with a special transportation rate for the movement of grain;

(c) the Government of Canada makes an annual financial contribution to the grain transportation system to ensure fair compensation for the movement of grain;

(d) the railway companies provide adequate equipment and plant capacity to move grain efficiently and reliably;

(e) the natural advantages of western agriculture are recognized and strengthened;

(f) the economic distortions within the western Canadian agricultural sector—

Mr. Flis: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. Just previously it was ruled that a motion that had been ruled out of order by the Speaker cannot be raised here again today. Just changing a few words but not the intent of the motion does not change the amendment. I suggest that you rule this amendment out of order. The Hon. Member is strictly out of order. He is repeating Motion No. 1 which the Speaker ruled out of order at report stage.

Mr. Malone: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. The submission has been made that a change of a word or two may not make a significant difference. I bring to your attention that the expression "execute, not wait till I come" as changed by the expression "execute not, wait till I come" is different only by a comma. I submit that the Hon. Member opposite would not like to make his choice of those two.

Mr. Epp: Mr. Speaker, I am pleased that you are asking me to proceed. The motion goes on to read:

(f) the economic distortions within the western Canadian agricultural sector stemming from a statutory rate are reduced livestock products and processed agricultural products;

(g) grain producers receive adequate guarantees of system performance and service,

and this Act is enacted in accordance with and for the attainment of so many of these objectives as fall within the purview of subject matters under the jurisdiction of Parliament relating to grain transportation.

and by renumbering the subsequent clauses accordingly.

I am pleased that the Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of Transport is also helping us in this endeavour. Therefore, I ask, in view of the support from the Parliamentary Secretary and others, that you seek unanimous consent that the matter be put before the House.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Corbin): Is there unanimous consent?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

Some Hon. Members: No.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Corbin): There is not unanimous consent.

Miss Carney: Madam Speaker, I rise on a point of order on House business. I ask the Government House Leader whether, in the interests of facilitating debate and improving Bill C-155, the Government would be prepared to consent to allow the

Business of the House

following motion to be put to the House. This is the notice of motion:

That Bill C-155 be amended in Clause 47 by adding immediately after line 44 at page 26 the following:

(5)(a) Ancillary charges are charges for services performed by a railway company in addition to the line-haul movement of grain.

(b) The Commission may, by order, prescribe the level of ancillary charges but in no case shall that amount exceed the level that in the opinion of the Commission is necessary to meet the variable cost of an ancillary service.

In any event, I ask that you seek the unanimous consent of the House for the motion as read to be placed on the Order Paper for debate during the consideration of report stage of Bill C-155, an Act to facilitate the transportation, shipping and handling of western grain and to amend certain Acts in consequence thereof.

Mr. Flis: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. Would the Hon. Member explain how the amendment she read differs from Motion No. 49 on the Order Paper?

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Corbin): Does the Hon. Member for Vancouver Centre wish to respond?

Miss Carney: I will read the motion referred to.

Mr. Mazankowski: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. I am not sure which motion the Hon. Parliamentary Secretary is looking at. Motion No. 94 stands in the name of the Hon. Member for Regina West. It reads as follows:

That Bill C-155 be amended in Clause 25 by striking out lines 10 to 17 at page 13 and substituting the following therefor:
debated and on the conclusion of the debate the Speaker shall forthwith put every question necessary for the disposition of the motion

Mr. Flis: I asked for the difference between the amendment read and Motion No. 94.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Corbin): Order. The House heard the proposal put by the Hon. Member for Vancouver Centre. Does it grant its unanimous consent?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

Some Hon. Members: No.

Mr. Yurko: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order because, as a Member of the House, I am quite confused at this particular moment. I will ask you to clarify the rules of the House for me.

It seems to me that we have reintroduced a form of Standing Order 43 in our rules today. We have reintroduced a selective and extended form of Standing Order 43 in a substantive way.

I want a ruling from the Chair before tomorrow as to whether or not I, as an independent Member of the House, can use exactly the same procedure being used here today to request unanimous consent to certain motions that I would like to put to the House as I used to move under Standing Order 43. I suggest to you very strongly that that is exactly what we have done here today. I want a ruling from the Chair at the