

(b) Fish Port Study, Charlotte County, \$55,000, February 1970. (c) Multiple Industry Study, \$173,000, September 1969 and December 1969.

4. No formal refusal was made on Champlain Industrial Park or the water supply at Head Harbour but agreements were not finalized.

DOMTAR LIMITED, DOLBEAU

Question No. 1,437—Mr. Gauthier:

Has Domtar Company operating at Dolbeau submitted an expansion project to the government with a view to creating employment and, if so, what amount has Domtar received for the years 1965-66-67-68 and 69 for research in the Dolbeau area?

Mr. Yves Forest (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): I am informed by the Departments of Regional Economic Expansion and Industry, Trade and Commerce as follows: No. Section 13 of the Industrial Research and Development Incentives Act prohibits the divulgence of information concerning any corporation. No monies have been made available through the Program for the Advancement of Industrial Technology or the Defence Industry Productivity Program with respect to Dolbeau, a facility of Domtar Ltd.

C.N.R. SALARIES

Question No. 1,449—Mr. Broadbent:

1. What were the total wage and salary payments made by the Canadian National to its Railway employees for each year since 1960?

2. What were the total wage and salary payments made by the CNR to its employees whose wages and salaries were determined by collective agreements for each year since 1960?

3. What were the total wage and salary payments made by the CNR to its employees whose wages and salaries were determined other than by collective agreement?

4. (a) What was the average number of employees of CNR for each year since 1960 (b) what was the average number of employees of CNR covered by collective agreements in each year since 1960?

5. (a) What were the average annual earnings of employees of CNR covered by collective agreements in each year since 1960 (b) what were the average annual earnings of employees of CNR not covered by collective agreements?

6. What were the actual amounts, included in direct payments to employees, for vacation pay, for general holidays, for sick leave and for personal leave and what proportion of total labour costs do these amounts represent?

7. What were the actual amounts paid by the Company each year since 1960 or since the inception of the Job Security Agreement into the Job Security Fund and what proportion did this amount represent of total labour costs?

22478—41½

Questions

8. What was the Company's contributions to benefit plans including pension plans, to health plans including provincial medicare plans, for each year since 1960, and what proportion do these amounts represent of total labour costs?

9. Assuming a definition of total labour costs to be all direct and indirect payments to employees including the Company's contributions to Workmen's Compensation, to Unemployment Insurance and to Canada Pension Plan, what proportion of total costs were represented by labour costs for each year since 1960?

Mr. Gérard Loiselle (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Transport): The Management of Canadian National Railways advises as follows: In view of the current and prospective labour negotiations between the Railway and the various unions representing most of the schedule employees on Canadian lines, it is not considered in the best interests of the Company to disclose the information in the form requested. Most of the data such as average number of employees, service hours paid for, total compensation, average hours paid for, average salaries and wages per hour and per year may be obtained in a more general form from Part VI (Employment Statistics) of Railway Transport published annually by the Dominion Bureau of Statistics.

SUBSIDY PAID TO MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY 1960 TO 1969

Question No. 1,463—Mr. Robinson:

What was the total subsidy paid to the manufacturing industry during each year 1960 to 1969 inclusive?

Hon. Jean-Luc Pepin (Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce): The only assistance program involving subsidies, as listed by parliamentary appropriation, to secondary industries is for shipbuilding which provides capital subsidies for the construction of commercial and fishing vessels in accordance with regulations of the Governor in Council. The subsidies provided for fiscal years 1960-1969 inclusive, are as follows: The following amounts were paid out by the Canadian Maritime Commission under the Ship Construction Assistance Regulations:

1960-61*	
1961-62	\$ 2,025,261.36
1962-63	22,500,000.00
1963-64	40,000,000.00
1964-65	32,000,000.00
1965-66	40,512,684.16
1966-67	26,778,277.11
	<hr/>
	\$163,816,222.63

*As subsidies were not introduced until May of 1961, there are no figures for 1960/61.