

*Redistribution*

burned, perhaps they should have a little conversation with Hon. Leslie M. Frost.

I mention one further general factor, sir, the difficulty a member of parliament may experience in giving adequate representation to non-cohesive geographical units. As an illustration, I may say the present Minister of Agriculture (Mr. Greene) has only one county council with which to deal. The next member for Renfrew North will have to deal with three county councils. The present member for Carleton has only one municipal council with which to deal, but the next member for the proposed Grenville-Carleton will have three. This is the way it goes across the province. The already considerable problems of giving adequate representation to one's constituents will be greatly intensified. Perhaps one should look forward to the delights of three wardens' banquets instead of only one. I say, too, that the problems of political organization and election financing have been greatly increased. In my submission, they need not have been.

I am fully aware, sir, of the chain reaction of any amendments that may be made at this time to the commission proposals. It was for this reason I outlined in detail, as will be seen on page 12 of the notice of objections for Ontario, the precise changes which should be made in the existing electoral districts of Carleton, Grenville-Dundas, Renfrew South, Lanark and Stormont. I should like now to put those proposals specifically upon the record:

1. The boundaries of the proposed electoral district of Lanark and Renfrew should be changed as follows:

(a) Eliminate therefrom the townships of Fitzroy, Torbolton, Huntley and March.

(b) Add thereto the town of Smiths Falls and the township of Montague.

2. The boundaries of the proposed electoral district of Leeds should be changed as follows:

(a) Eliminate therefrom the town of Smiths Falls and the township of Montague.

(b) Add thereto the town of Prescott, the village of Cardinal and the townships of Augusta and Edwardsburgh.

3. The name of the last mentioned electoral district should be changed to Leeds and Grenville.

4. The boundaries of the proposed electoral district of Stormont-Dundas should be changed as follows:

(a) Eliminate therefrom the villages of Winchester and Chesterville and the township of Winchester.

(b) Add thereto the village of Iroquois and the township of Matilda.

5. The boundaries of the proposed electoral district of Grenville-Carleton should be changed as follows:

(a) Eliminate therefrom the town of Prescott, the villages of Iroquois and Cardinal and the townships of Augusta, Edwardsburgh and Matilda.

(b) Add thereto the villages of Winchester and Chesterville and the townships of Fitzroy, Torbolton, Huntley, March and Winchester.

6. The name of the last mentioned electoral district should be changed to Carleton-Grenville-Dundas.

7. A much more detailed survey of Ottawa area electoral districts should be undertaken by the commission in order to achieve new boundaries which genuinely represent the policy of the Electoral Boundaries Adjustment Act which assuredly the proposed boundaries do not do.

I want to emphasize, having read that, that if I had to start the eastern Ontario redistribution *de novo*, this would not be what I would propose. The boundaries that are proposed in this document simply represent a change to make something sensible out of the commission's present proposals without upsetting those proposals over-all. I am aware of, and I sympathize with the views of the people of Dundas county who resent the county being split. If I had my way neither Grenville, Dundas nor Carleton counties would be split in the manner in which they are. Those proposals I have outlined are an attempt to create ridings which do have cohesion, as well as cultural and social affinities, where the boundaries follow trade and economic patterns, and where effect is given to the relative growth in population. The commission already has the detailed population breakdown supplied to it by me for each of the ridings, and comparing the 1961 census with 1964 estimates made by municipal assessors. They can now easily bring this up to date by using the September, 1965, population estimates made by municipal assessors.

At this point, sir, I would ask permission to place on *Hansard* a table which summarizes precisely the results of these proposals.

**Mr. Deputy Speaker:** Is it agreed that these tables be placed on *Hansard*?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.