for part of the increase. Also, as I indicated before, there is always a certain lag in the service departments. This has, to a point, caught up in the past year. Mr. Gilmore may wish to add something.

Mr. GILMORE: We added one half-hour of production on the English network starting last October in line with the development which I reviewed this morning. That was the main reason for the increase of operating personnel.

Mr. Lambert: Is there any indication as to how much of that increase was purely administrative as against, shall we say, the technical and production side?

Mr. Carter: We would have to make a comparison. I do not have the information offhand. We could try to get that for you for tomorrow.

Mr. Johnson (Interpretation): Mr. Chairman, this question is addressed to Mr. Carter and concerns the methods of staff requirement. In these methods have there been any methods fixed—and at this point I would like to say I am coming back to my question of a little while ago because it comes better under this heading. Has there been any analysis of the tasks of the producers and supervisors? Has there been an analysis of the tasks carried out by these persons?

Mr. Carter: Yes; there are. I have already filed with the committee a statement in respect of the administrative responsibility for programs. The role of the producer and supervisor is outlined in the note accompanying that.

Mr. Johnson (Interpretation): Mr. Chairman, again this is addressed to Mr. Carter. Has there been drawn up a brief or have directives been drawn up as to the qualification, experience and talent of these producers and supervisors? What are the standards required.

Mr. Carter: As far as the supervising producers are concerned, the job specification has been written outlining the job function, the responsibility and so forth. For the producer, the job function is written but we have not prepared the job specification. There is no formal specification which exists.

Mr. Johnson: When was this written?

Mr. Carter: For the supervising producer I would imagine about three years ago when the job was set up.

Mr. Chambers: My question has been partly answered. In Mr. Carter's opening statement he said requirements were related to increases in production.

Mr. CARTER: In work-loads.

Mr. Chambers: Is the work-load not directly apportioned to the increase in production?

Mr. CARTER: Yes.

Mr. Chambers: The average mentioned by Mr. Gilmore is not 10 per cent. You have an increase of almost 10 per cent.

Mr. Carter: I indicated that the changes in programming would require additional staff. Also I referred to the lag in the service department which has been caught up in the past year.

Mr. Chambers: Could you tell us what was your increase for the previous year?

The CHAIRMAN: 618 one year and 494 the year before.

Are there any further questions? Then we will go to (e) safeguards against recruitment exclusively on certain type of employee. I think that was answered.

Mr. TAYLOR: Has there ever been any efficiency expert, independent and from outside the corporation, who has come in to look over the staff situation of the C.B.C.