## FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION... (continued)

| Age Distribution |  | Dept | $1991-1992$ | $1990-1991$ | 1989-1990 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ Category 1 (18-29) | $6 \%$ | $16 \%(39)$ | $10 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ |  |
| $\checkmark$ Category 2 (30-39) | $31 \%$ | $28 \%(71)$ | $34 \%$ | $45.2 \%$ |  |
| $\checkmark$ Category 3 (40-49) | $38 \%$ | $38 \%(96)$ | $48 \%$ | $44.1 \%$ |  |
| $\checkmark$ Category 4 $(50-59)$ | $20 \%$ | $16 \%(40)$ | $6 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ |  |
| $\checkmark$ Category 5 (60 +1 | $5 \%$ | $2 \%(5)$ | $2 \%$ | $.3 \%$ |  |

- The percentage of employees in categories 1 and 4 using our services has increased steadily in the past 3 years.
- The percentage of employees in categories 2 and 3 (the middle years) has steadily decreased in the past 3 years.
- This year, category 1 is heavily represented, 2 is slightly under-represented, 3 is right on target, 4 is slightly under-represented and 5 is right on target. However, overall, it appears that this year, there is a better distribution in our clientele, i.e. a distribution that resembles more closely the one in the Department regarding age groups.
(See graphic on next page)

