

to another, or will it hold all its sessions here in Ottawa?

Hon. Sir JAMES LOUGHEED: Not necessarily. They can sit anywhere, the same as the Railway Board.

Sections 20 to 46 were agreed to.

On section 47—costs:

Hon. Mr. POWER: There does not seem to be anything here to control the amount of costs.

Hon. Sir JAMES LOUGHEED: They are within the discretion of the Board. They will be fixed in any case, and then the claim may be prosecuted. The section seems to me to be ample.

Hon. Mr. POWER: There is no restriction.

Hon. Sir JAMES LOUGHEED: That will be worked out by the board on general rules, the same as is done in a court of law.

Section 47 was agreed to.

Sections 48 to 52 were agreed to.

The Bill was reported without amendment, and was read the third time and passed.

INTOXICATING LIQUOR BILL.

REPORT OF CONFERENCE OF THE TWO HOUSES—THE HOUSE OF COMMONS INSISTS UPON ITS DISAGREEMENT.

Hon. Sir JAMES LOUGHEED: I have been asked by the Managers of the Senate to make the following report as to what took place in the conference between the Managers of the Senate and the Managers of the House of Commons respecting Bill 107, an Act to confirm the Order in Council of the twenty-fourth day of February, 1919, prohibiting the Importation, Manufacture and Transportation of Intoxicating Liquors, and the Order in Council of the twelfth day of April, 1919, in amendment thereof:

Your managers beg to report that three proposals were made at the Conference with respect to Bill 107. The first was made by the Senate managers, and the other two by the managers of the House of Commons:

1. That the legislation should be in force for one year from the 11th of November, 1918, being the date of the armistice.
2. That the legislation should be in force until the end of the present fiscal year, that is to say, the 31st of March, 1920.
3. That the Bill as passed by the House of Commons be concurred in, with the proviso that upon an application of the Lieutenant Governor in Council of any province to the Governor in Council asking that an order of the Governor in Council be made repealing the regulations

Hon. Mr. DANIEL.

incorporated in the Bill with respect to such province after peace has been proclaimed, or after such date thereafter as may be stated in such application, the Governor in Council should make such order, and that upon the making of the order, the regulations, with any amendments thereto, should not apply or be in force in such province or with respect to such province or any business therein after peace has been proclaimed or after such date thereafter as might in such order be mentioned.

The managers of the conference were, however, unable to effect any agreement in the premises.

Hon. Mr. POWER: That is just for the information of the House?

Hon. Sir JAMES LOUGHEED: That is for the information of the House, honourable gentlemen.

The Senate adjourned during pleasure.

After some time the sitting was resumed.

A message was received from the House of Commons as follows:

Resolved, that a message be sent to the Senate to acquaint their Honours that this House doth insist upon its disagreement to the amendment made by the Senate to Bill 107, an Act to confirm the Order in Council of the 24th day of February, 1919, prohibiting the importation, manufacture, and transportation of intoxicating liquors, and the Order in Council of the 12th day of April, 1919, in amendment thereof.

The Senate adjourned until Monday, at 10 a.m.

THE SENATE.

Monday, July 7, 1919.

The Senate met at 10 a.m., the Speaker in the Chair.

Prayers and routine proceedings.

SEXUAL OFFENCES BILL.

THE SENATE INSISTS UPON ITS AMENDMENT.

The Senate proceeded to consider the following message from the House of Commons:

Resolved, that a message be sent to the Senate to acquaint their Honours that this House doth concur in the amendments 1, 2 and 3 made by the Senate to Bill 78, an Act to amend the Criminal Code (Sexual Offences), and to the 4th amendment in so far as concerns clauses four and six thereby proposed to be added to the said Bill, but doth not concur in clause 5 thereby proposed to be added, for the following reason:

That if clauses 1, 2 and 3 of the said Bill are to effectively deter persons from committing the offences against girls therein defined the comparative culpability of the parties concerned cannot be considered.