PRINTING AND DISTRIBUTION OF SPEECH BY MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE TO DAVENPORT LIBERAL ASSOCIATION

Question No. 3,332-Mr. Cossitt:

1. On what information was the answer to Question No. 3,100 based that the address by the Minister of Agriculture to the Davenport Liberal Association on Wednesday, October 31, 1973 and printed and distributed to 1,845 persons at public expense, was not a political address?

2. What is the specific name of the person who made the decision that this was not a political address?

3. What is the specific name of the person who made the decision that the Department of Agriculture does not intend to seek reimbursement in printing and distribution from the Liberal Party of Canada?

Hon. E. F. Whelan (Minister of Agriculture): 1. On information contained therein. The public is invited.

2. The Hon. Eugene Whelan.

3. The Hon. Eugene Whelan.

* * *

OUESTIONS PASSED AS ORDERS FOR RETURNS

OIL EXPLORATION PERMITS

Question No. 2,556-Mr. Yewchuk:

1. Which companies (a) Canadian-controlled (b) American-controlled (c) British-controlled (d) French-controlled (France) (e) German-controlled (f) Japanese-controlled (g) Russian-controlled (h) others have been granted oil exploration permits by the government to explore in (i) the Yukon Territory (ii) the Northwest Territories (iii) each of the provinces?

2. Which department is responsible for granting oil exploration permits?

3. Did the government always consult with the natives before granting these permits and, if not, for what reasons?

4. To date, how many charges have been filed under the federal pollution-control laws against companies exploring in Canada's North?

5. (a) Who were these companies (b) what was the fine in each case?

6. Did the government, at any time, find a need to enforce the (a) Arctic Waters Pollution Prevention Act (b) Northern Inland Waters Act (c) Territorial Lands Act and, if so, on what date and against whom?

Return tabled.

SALE OF PRINCESS STREET SLIP, KINGSTON HARBOUR

Question No. 2,750—Miss MacDonald (Kingston and the Islands):

1. Was the Princess Street slip in Kingston Harbour sold by the City of Kingston to the government and, if so (a) what was the approximate square footage of land (b) on what date did the sale take place (c) under what Order in Council was it purchased?

2. Did the government secure permission to fill the slip and, if so (a) from whom did they request permission (b) on what date was such permission granted?

3. Who used the slip between 1966 and 1972 and how much was paid for the use of the slip for each of those years and to whom was the money paid?

4. Was there a transaction between the government and the firms, Dunleary Investments Limited and Murney Development Limited, with regard to the federally-owned slip and, if so, on what date did the property transfer take place and in what manner?

[Mr. Whelan.]

5. If the property transfer did in fact take place, did the government consult with the Government of the Province of Ontario and the Kingston City Council prior to the sale and, if so, on what dates did such discussions take place?

6. (a) What were the names of the officers, directors and shareholders of Dunleary Investments Limited and Murney Development Limited in November, 1972 (b) have there been any changes in the directorship of these companies since November 1972?

7. What are the names of the signatories to the agreement or deed that transferred the slip in Kingston Harbour from the government to the firms of Dunleary Investments Limited and Murney Development Limited?

8. What sales, quit-claims, leases or other transfers of property have been made of Kingston Harbour lands since the 1955 quitclaim from the City of Kingston (a) to whom were they made (b) what were the locations and sizes of the parcels (c) how much money was received for each parcel (d) what is the length of the leases (e) what were the dates of the transactions (f) who were the directors and shareholders of the companies involved (g) which transfers were made for lands that were under agreement or purchase contracts to other companies or individuals (h) who were the directors and shareholders of these secondary companies?

9. Was the Department of Transport requested by the City of Kingston to straighten out the ownership problems in Kingston Harbour and, if so (a) on what date (b) what action has been taken since then?

Return tabled.

RECIPIENTS OF GRANTS UNDER THE PROGRAM FOR EXPORT MARKET DEVELOPMENT, 1972-73

Question No. 2,813-Mr. Rodriguez:

What were the names of the recipients of grants or loans under the program for Export Market Development in each of the fiscal years 1972-73 (January 1, 1973 to end) and 1973-74 to date and what were the amounts in each case?

Return tabled.

POLLUTION—NAMES OF CORPORATIONS BENEFITTING UNDER ACCELERATED CAPITAL COST ALLOWANCE PROGRAM

Question No. 2,816-Mr. Rodriguez:

1. What were the names of corporations benefitting from the Accelerated Capital Cost Allowance Program (ACCA) for pollution-control structures and equipment, in each of the fiscal years 1965-66 to 1972-73 (January 1 to end) and 1973-74 to date and, in each case, what were the costs allowed under the program for rapid write-off?

2. What are the qualifications of the staff employed in assessing whether the equipment or structures specified in an application for cost allowance are capable of reducing, abating or eliminating a pollution problem and what standards are used in defining reduction, abatement and elimination?

3. What inspection reports have been prepared assessing the performance of the equipment or structures in reducing, abating or eliminating a pollution problem?

4. Where performance has been found to be unsatisfactory, what measures have been taken to ensure improvement or recover cost allowances?

Return tabled.