principal condition to the achievement of self-government the creation of competent administrative services, a practical understanding of democratic processes and insofar as possible, a viable economy. Again from our own Canadian experience we are particularly conscious of the necessity for the full protection of the rights of minorities.

THE OUTSTION OF MOI od8\88 indWrprets The resolution adopted by the Assembly last year adel durging the continuation of discussions between the two tage of parties in the spirit of the Charter was, we felt, in eddiam charmony with our conciliatory approach to the problem and anoid we supported it. In the light of what I have said above it will, however, be clear that the resolution tabled by the 13 Arab-Asian countries defining in categorical terms the action which should now be taken by France goes beyond to so what, in our view, it would be appropriate and useful for this assembly to recommend on the question of Morocco eb for this reason we are unable to support it in its present soon form. The Canadian Delegation reserves its position on stroom the draft resolution which the distinguished delegate of saw s Bolivia has first indicated that he proposes to introduce n bib sw tent tost stemmed from the fact that we did n national we had anything substantial to add to what the Can

LIBRARY E A PERSONAL S VIEWS WE then express ambly to consider this



On the question of competence we do not take th eint expressed in the general degate by several delegat williggs that any discussion of the Morecoan question is contrar learne ton ob aw description and the day acold the likell The ew subscribe to this interpretation of the Charter, we have totars dull respect for those who do. As the Canadian Represe

dingit made olean last year, we make a distinction between arotan "competence to discuss" and "competence to intervene". this to a question has been included on our agenda we accept th on you Assembly's competence to discuss it, but we consider th of discussion should not be shused of As has been forcer pointed out by the Delegation of the United Kingdom in The distinction between discussion and interven decade, one one, but it is one which we neverthel deserg meintain can in practice be usefully made. In the abse et eny decision by the International Court of Justice w on will use our best judgment in determining whether any ted resolution resulting from our discussions constitutes a intervention prohibited by Article 2(7) of the Charter.

Disc ew regards the substance of the matter we said year that if the historical experience of the Canadian has any relevance to this discussion, it points to the of peaceful evolution towards self-government. The use torce breeds hatred and makes hatred and makes future seelbusger eldisacqui ton li thothib notisacdellos an finel outcome. Indeed, Canadian experience has always pointed to the usefulness, if not the necessity, of mai taining, in this interdependent world, economic, cultur even political ties between the newly emerging state an former protector. At the same time we recognize as a