THE SICK PASTOR'S PRAYER.

(Preferring his Lord's Service, to a Peerage above.)

I thank Thee, gracious Sovereign,
For the offer thou dost make
To set me among nobles,
And the burden from me take:
But let me serve!

I'm often very weary,
And both flesh and spirit fail;
The way seems somewhat dreary,
And with joy the end I'll hail:
But let me serve!

There's so much work remaining, So many souls are lost, And sin needs such restraining, And triumphs at such cost: O let me serve!

The idlers are so many;
The workers are so few—
In some fields are not any
Who yet can dare or do:
O let me serve!

The prospect is so pleasant,
The harvest is so white,
Success e'en now is present,
And work is such delight:
O let me serve!

The work is not yet finished
Which I had hoped to do;
My zeal is not diminished,
My heart is firm and true:
O let me serve!

I want to lift the lowly; I want to help the weak; I want to make men holy, Glad news of peace to speak: O let me serve!

I want to make men brothers;
To teach the rich and poor
To seek the good of others,
And aid them from their store:
O let me serve!

Dear Lord, Thon ne'er hast left me To work and serve alone; Nor of Thy Grace bereft me, But more and more hast shown; O let me serve!

What offering can I tender
For what Thou gavest me?
What service can I render
That's worthy Lord, of Thee?
But let me serve!

And when Thy hand has raised me Unto thy heavenly throne, I'll say when I have praised Thee For the "Well done" and crown! Still let me serve!

ENGLISH RADICALS.

SIR HENRY S. MAINE has published able book showing (like Herbert Spethe dire dangers of Radicalism in land. He shows that the British stitution has not been fenced ab against sudden and violent change the elaborate precautions which g the Constitution of the United St The tendency of things, then-indeed avowed ideal which the Democracy set before it-is to clear away both House of Lords and the Establia Church, to reduce the Crown to an a solute cipher, and so transform the cient constitution of Great Britain in single chamber, resting upon what Democracy is pleased to call "the of the people." The two most pop leaders of the Democracy, Mr. Jos Chamberlain and Mr. Henry Labouch are forever harping upon this dogma "the will of the people," as though possessed a self evident right to sw away all impediments that hinder a re and complete translation of its wishes i Mr- Chamberlain if we are to cept his speeches as a genuine expres of his convictions, confidently relies up the popular wisdom to deal successful with social and political problems wh have baffled the wisest philosophers the greatest statesmen, and Mr. Lab chere never wearies in expressing warm approval of all this bosh. The De ocracy, as is the way with Domocraci vields a ready ear to these flattering tal It accepts with eager credulity this m vellous description of its own excellence and burns with desire to begin the we of destruction upon whatever has the p sumption to exist, independently "of t will of the people." Sir Henry Main book may be described as an acut reasoned protest against the dogma "the will of the people." It is a dogu as he points out, potent for destruction but powerles for purposes of construction It is easy to discover what institutions not rest upon "the will of the people and thereupon in that sacred name work their destruction; but when t endeavour is made to build up new ins tutions in their place, all efforts in the direction are thwarted by the impossible