Gov Chs Secretary 261

The Weekly Mail.

VOL. V. NO. 232. TORONTO, FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 8, 1876. PRICE FOUR CENTS.

BIRTHS.

CAMPBELL—In this city, on the 30th ult., the wife of John Campbell, of a son.

STEWART—On the 31st ult., at 31 Beverley street, the wife of Kenneth J. Stewart, of a daughter.

BARKER—At Orangeville, on the 31st Aug., the wife of Peter Moffitt Barker, Barrister, of a daughter.

CASEY—At Grassmere Cottage, Colborne, on the 28th inst., the wife of Henry S. Casey, Esq., of a daughter.

LOUIE—At Brandebridge, on Tuesday, the 29th inst., the wife of J. Ewart Jones, Registrar for the District of Muskoka, of a daughter.

FELKIN—At No. 61 University street on the 1st of September, the wife of W. D. Felkin, of a son. Still born.

Montreal papers please copy.

TAYLOR—At Hamilton, Ontario, on the 31st August, the wife of John Taylor, Esq., barrister-at-law, of a daughter.

HARPER—At Hamilton, on Friday the 1st instant, the wife of W. F. Harper, commercial traveller, of a son.

DARBY—In Guelph, on the 1st instant the wife of Mr. Frederick W. Darby, of a daughter.

STRONGMAN—On the 1st inst., at No. 322 St. Charles Borromeo street, Montreal, the wife of Walter Strongman, of a son.

STOTT—On the 3rd inst., the wife of Cornelius J. Stott, Fort Hope, of a daughter.

MORGAN—At Holland Landing, the wife of Stanley Morgan, Esq., of a daughter, on the 31st August.

MARRIAGES.

READ—FAIR—At Millbrook, by Rev. Rural Dean Allen, John Read to Margaret Jane Fair.

CLEMES—SMITH—On the 5th inst., at the residence of the bride's father, by the Rev. Dr. Topp, John P. Clemes, of Port Hope, to Anna E., second daughter of D. W. Smith, Esq., of Toronto.

WHITEHALL—CLEMES—On the 5th inst, at the residence of the bride's father, by the Rev. J. Shaw, F. Whitehall, Secretary of Midland railway of Canada, to Kate F. D. Clemes, youngest daughter of Charles Clemes, Esq., of Port Hope.

TURNER—RUSSELL—At the residence of the bride's father, on Friday, September 1st, by the Rev. J. A. Alexander, Mr. R. A. Turner, to Mary Jane, daughter of David Russell, Esq., Brantford.

FINDLAY—PARSONS—On the 29th ult., by the Rev. A. McColl, Mr. Alex. Findlay, to Miss Catherine Parsons, both of the township of Hamilton.

CARLE—MARTIN—On 16th August, at Trinity parsonage, by the Rev. J. Sanson, Thomas George Carle, to Emma, only daughter of the late Robert Martin, Esq., both of this city.

DEATHS.

HIGGINS—On the 4th instant, at 34 Duke street, Minnie, infant daughter of P. Higgins, in the 8th month of her age.

GILBERT—On the morning of the 5th inst., at the residence of Lieut.-Col. Gilbert, Dundas street, Toronto, Chas. Gilbert, Esq., formerly of Brantford.

BOLTON—On September 5th, in California, Alfred Bolton, late of this city, aged 39 years and 6 months.

WRIGHT—On Wednesday, 30th inst., Margaret Ann, relict of the late John Wright, aged eighty years and five months.

MCMURCHY—On the 27th inst., at his residence, Danby House, Stamford, County Welland, aged ninety years, Hercules, widow of the late John McMurchy, Esq., M.C.C., and eldest daughter of the late Harrison Chilton, Esq., Whitby, Yorkshire, England.

BACHUS—On Monday, 28th August, 1876, at the residence of his son-in-law, Isaac Fraser, Lake Shore, Raleigh, Mr. Frederick Backus, aged eighty-four years.

MCCARTHY—Suddenly, on the 29th inst. Martha H., beloved wife of J. B. McCarthy, of St. Mary's, Ontario, aged 39 years.

LAMB—Recently, on the 29th inst., Margaret Mary, second daughter of Mr. John Lamb, Scotch Block, Toronto, aged 2 years and 7 months.

MCGILLIVRAY—On the 29th inst., at No. 6 Terauley street, Margaret, infant daughter of Mr. Alexander McGillivray, aged 1 year and 6 months.

DUNCAN—On the 30th ult., at No. 68 Terauley street, Mary Ann, the beloved wife of Alderman Downey, aged 35 years.

BRIGGS—On July 31st, at Schomberg, Mrs. Bright, wife of C. T. G. Bright, aged 51 years.

POWELL—Of Orangeville, on Tuesday, the 29th August, Mrs. Powell, daughter of Captain Cooke, and daughter-in-law of the late Judge Powell, 75th Regiment, sister-in-law of Colonel Powell, of the Dragoon Guards.

LEVEQUE—In this city, on Saturday morning, Sept. 2nd, L. M. Leveque, in the 55th year of his age.

MURPHY—At Sarnia, on the 1st inst., William Murphy, late Postmaster of Sarnia, in the seventy-seventh year of his age.

LITTLE—In this city, at 192 Terauley street, on Sunday last instant, Mary Ann Little, aged 58.

SULLIVAN—In Kingston, on the morning of the 31st ult., Robert J. Sullivan, youngest son of Mr. Patrick Sullivan, aged 2 years and 3 months.

LAUGHTON—In Ottawa, on the 1st instant Ann, wife of the late Mr. James Laughton, and daughter of the late Mr. John Richardson, Gallowhill, Strathmore, Scotland, aged 63 years.

SIMPSON—At Woodside, near Rockville, South Carolina, on Friday August 25th, at the residence of her son-in-law, Rev. Wm. Cattle, Phillip Simon, Esq., late cashier Bank of Upper Canada, Chatham Branch, and one of the last survivor of the late Robert Symes, Esq., J. P., &c., &c., aged about 85 years.

DILLON—At 29 Agnes street, on Monday, 4th inst., Dillon, youngest son of Mr. W. Dillon, aged 15 months.

LAMBERTUS—, aged 25, Township, on September 1st, Wesley James, third son of Mr. Asa G. Wright, aged 18 days.

WRIGHT—In Co. St. Catharine's, on the 1st Sept., Margaret, beloved wife of Captain Wright, Sarnia, aged 37 years, a native of Banff, Scotland.

WILSON—In Westminster, on Saturday, Sept. 2nd, MARY ANN WILSON, aged 58.

The Weekly Mail,

TORONTO, FRIDAY, SEPT. 8, 1876.

AN ADDRESS TO THE ELECTORS OF LAMBTON.

MR. MACKENZIE, it is announced by the Ministerial press, will address the electors of Lambton at Watford to-day. The Premier's constituents have heard him many times since they first honoured him with their suffrages; and a majority of them, and a very large majority too, believe him to be an honest, straightforward, and consistent politician.

To that majority we here respectfully address ourselves with the assertion that he is the very opposite. The evidence which we offer to-day in support of that assertion is derived from sources to which neither the Premier nor his constituents can object, to wit, his own speeches as reported in the *Globe* on the one hand, and the public records on the other. The point to be proved by indubitable evidence of this kind is that since Mr. MACKENZIE has held office he has violated all the principles he professed to hold dearest when leader of the Opposition; and the conclusion his constituents are expected to draw from such premises is that he is no longer worthy of their regard.

First of all, let us show that we are not taking an unfair advantage of the Premier in maintaining that he is morally bound to carry out when in power the principles he held when seeking power, and that having failed to do so he merits the gravest censure a beguiled constituency and country can pass upon him. On that important point, Mr. MACKENZIE himself is our authority. At almost every public meeting he addressed when leader of the Opposition, he not only pledged himself to carry out the principles of his Party if he obtained office, but pictured the sweeping judgment that would righteously fall upon him or any other "Reform" leader who should "go back on his record." The following is from a speech at St. Catharines on the 15th Nov. 1871, reported in the *Globe* the day after, establishes all that is necessary under this head:

"Our theory of government is that on each side of the House there should be men supporting definite opinions, and that what they have supported in Opposition they should adhere to in office, and that every one should know from the fact of their being in office that those particular opinions will be supported.

"He would never accept office upon any consideration if in doing so he had to abandon the least of the principles he now professed. The man who taught one thing in Opposition and another when he was in power, was a demagogue in whom the people could have no confidence whatever.

"He was prepared at any moment, when any Reform Government should come into power when they were ready to depart from the principles which actuated them in Opposition, to take the same ground against them that he took against their natural enemies to-night."

We are justified by his own authority, therefore, in holding Mr. MACKENZIE to the principles he professed when leader of the Opposition, and in asking his constituents to condemn him for violation thereof, nay, in the sentences just quoted, he professes his willingness to condemn himself under such circumstances.

Now for the principles which we contend the Premier has violated:

(1) *The No-Coalition Principle.*—That this was one of Mr. MACKENZIE'S favourite principles when he was leader of the Opposition, the electors of Lambton can hardly need be told. From the day in Confederation year when the No-Coalition resolution was adopted "amid thunders of applause," as the *Globe* of the 27th June, 1867 has it, until the 5th November, 1873, when he took office as Ottawa, Mr. MACKENZIE never wearied of denouncing the immorality of Coalitions. It is but fair to say, however, that although Senator FERRIER, one of the *Rouge* leaders said:

"M. Cauchon will be able, perhaps, to secure, as he announces, his re-election to-morrow, but he will never recover from the blow he has just received. The contest was virtually decided when the Liberals contented to hold dearest when leader of the Opposition; and the commission