

SCHEDULE.

DESPATCHES FROM THE GOVERNOR-GENERAL.

No.	No.	Date.	SUBJECT:	Page.
1	155	Oct. 6, 1866	Transmitting address to Her Majesty from certain inhabitants of the city of Montreal, praying that a prisoner named Lamirande, lately delivered to the French Government under the Extradition Treaty, may be returned to Montreal to have his case investigated there before the Court of Queen's Bench on writ of <i>habeas corpus</i>	1
2	164	18,	Furnishing the Report on this case as called for by Lord Carnarvon's despatch No. 61 of the 22nd September, 1866	12
3	173	25,	Inclosing three extracts from the "Montreal Herald." containing reports of what took place in the Court of Queen's Bench respecting the necessity for notice in applications for the writ of <i>habeas corpus</i>	62
4	174	25,	Inclosing correspondence with Mr. Doutre, the counsel for Lamirande	65
5	175	25,	Inclosing a further letter from Mr. Doutre, with copies of documents	66
6	182	31,	Inclosing copy of affidavit of M. Melin	91
7	193	Nov. 10,	Transmitting letter from Mr. Ramsay, the Crown Prosecutor, to Mr. Godley	92
8	4	Jan. 3, 1867	Acknowledging Lord Carnarvon's despatch announcing that Lamirande had been tried in France and sentenced to ten years' reclusion	93

DESPATCHES FROM THE SECRETARY OF STATE.

1	61	Sept. 22, 1866	Transmitting copy of a despatch from Her Majesty's Ambassador at Paris accompanied by a letter from M. Lamirande, complaining of his extradition; and calling for a report on the case	97
2	67	27,	Stating that Her Majesty's Ambassador at Paris had been instructed to address a representation to the French Government on the subject	99
3	84	Oct. 27,	Acknowledging Lord Monck's despatch No. 155 of the 6th October, 1866, explaining the circumstances under which Lamirande was delivered by the Canadian authorities to the French police	99
4	110	Nov. 24,	Views of Her Majesty's Government respecting the course which had been adopted by the Canadian authorities in this case	100
5	114	Dec. 14,	Announcing that Lamirande had been tried in France and found guilty of forgery ("faux") and sentenced to ten years' reclusion, and that from this decision he had appealed to the Court of Cassation	101