

# FACULTY REPS IN BY DEMAND ENGINEERS TO VOTE

es Leaman. is determined to see  
believes in "on in Arts Week".  
y student union and Fenety, Arts 11,  
that student uses as his basic platform  
ion on the senate necessity for greater  
nt SRC communication.  
or part of Leaman's hgh this unity the student  
refers to the would gain a more  
t of conditions for voice in the senate  
Bookstore managed our members at large.  
liver. an available outlet for

arising gripes can be provided  
as well as the termination of  
the rift between the students  
and the SRC.

He feels the SRC could be  
an effective pressure group to  
overcome student problems  
(such as the terrible residence  
food). Dan believes that the  
Brunswickan through better  
coverage and reasoned criticism

could "keep representatives on  
their toes". "Student  
membership in the SRC is of  
complete importance as there  
is no better means of finance."

Susan Wright, a first year  
engineering candidate, shows  
interest in working for the  
benefit of the EUS when asked  
for her opinions concerning  
senate representation and

student union membership,  
however, she had no idea of  
the situation.

Stafford Cripps, civil  
engineering IV candidate has  
set two goals for his platform.  
First "to represent as  
accurately as possible the views  
of the engineers by working  
closely with the EUS" and  
second "to become an active

agent of communication  
between the SRC and engineers  
on controversial issues." He is  
strongly in favor of student  
representation in the senate  
and feels that it would be  
successful.

Robert Buckley, electrical  
engineering IV, proposes, as a  
candidate, to "actively  
represent the engineers, and see  
that they have a voice in the  
SRC". He feels that we should  
stay out of CUS, and support  
student representation in the  
senate. Robert hopes to see  
students gain in power, but not  
to the extent where they gain  
control.

George Milligan, an  
engineering IV candidate says  
of student representation in  
the senate, "Students deserve a  
voice in the senate considering  
we are the main reason for the  
university." He feels that  
"voluntary student union  
would be good in that it would  
save money for those who are  
not active in university  
affairs". George's basic policy  
is that he "would like to see a  
more localized university —  
concentration on immediate  
issues here in Fredericton."

Rick Adams, Post-Graduate  
representative by acclamation,  
says, "The SRC is supposed to  
represent the student body.  
The bulk of the student body,  
although uninformed, are  
puffed by the fact that the  
SRC is unable to function with  
any semblance of purpose or  
efficiency. I have joined the  
SRC to see if it is the system or  
the people that render the SRC  
ineffective. If it is the people,  
this is one bull that is going to  
break a lot of china."

David Lingley, Physical  
Education 111 candidate,  
proposes to work for the  
students. He determines to be  
"an available representative".  
Dave feels there is too much  
apathy for a completely  
voluntary student union. He  
considers student  
representation in the senate  
"can be effective if the right  
people are chosen". Dave  
wants to see "better  
communication between the  
students and the SRC."


David Landry, vice  
president by acclamation, says  
"the student body should be  
getting \$200,000 worth of  
representation, instead of a  
bunch of flunkies sitting up  
there, whom no one respects".  
He feels the university should  
be in CUS. David has no  
particular policies in mind; he  
"feels that general  
representation is essential and  
will try his best in any issues as  
they appear".



MARY NORRAD



DON OLMSTEAD



RICK ADAMS



BOB PETERS