

FACULTY REPS IN BY AND ENGINEERS TO VOTE

Leaman... is determined to see... believes in... in Arts Week".
student union and in Fenety, Arts 11,
that student uses as his basic platform
on the senate necessity for greater
SRC communication.
part of Leaman's... this unity the student
refers to... would gain a more
of conditions for our voice in the senate.
Bookstore managed by our members at large.
an available outlet for

arising gripes can be provided
as well as the termination of
the rift between the students
and the SRC.

He feels the SRC could be
an effective pressure group to
overcome student problems
(such as the terrible residence
food). Dan believes that the
Brunswickan through better
coverage and reasoned criticism

could "keep representatives on
their toes". "Student
membership in the SRC is of
complete importance as there
is no better means of finance."

Susan Wright, a first year
engineering candidate, shows
interest in working for the
benefit of the EUS when asked
for her opinions concerning
senate representation and

student union membership,
however, she had no idea of
the situation.

Stafford Cripps, civil
engineering IV candidate has
set two goals for his platform.
First "to represent as
accurately as possible the views
of the engineers by working
closely with the EUS" and
second "to become an active

agent of communication
between the SRC and engineers
on controversial issues." He is
strongly in favor of student
representation in the senate
and feels that it would be
successful.


Robert Buckley, electrical
engineering IV, proposes, as a
candidate, to "actively
represent the engineers, and see
that they have a voice in the
SRC". He feels that we should
stay out of CUS, and support
student representation in the
senate. Robert hopes to see
students gain in power, but not to
the extent where they gain
control.

George Milligan, an
engineering IV candidate says
of student representation in
the senate, "Students deserve a
voice in the senate considering
we are the main reason for the
university." He feels that
"voluntary student union
would be good in that it would
save money for those who are
not active in university
affairs". George's basic policy
is that he "would like to see a
more localized university —
concentration on immediate
issues here in Fredericton."


Rick Adams, Post-Graduate
representative by acclamation,
says, "The SRC is supposed to
represent the student body.
The bulk of the student body,
although uninformed, are
taffled by the fact that the
SRC is unable to function with
any semblance of purpose or
efficiency. I have joined the
SRC to see if it is the system or
the people that render the SRC
ineffective. If it is the people,
this is one bull that is going to
break a lot of china."

David Lingley, Physical
Education 111 candidate,
proposes to work for the
students. He determines to be
"an available representative".
Dave feels there is too much
apathy for a completely
voluntary student union. He
considers student
representation in the senate
"can be effective if the right
people are chosen". Dave
wants to see "better
communication between the
students and the SRC."

David Landry, vice
president by acclamation, says
"the student body should be
getting \$200,000 worth of
representation, instead of a
bunch of flunkies sitting up
there, whom no one respects".
He feels the university should
be in CUS. David has no
particular policies in mind; he
"feels that general
representation is essential and
will try his best in any issues as
they appear".



MARY NORRAD



DON OLSTEAD



RICK ADAMS



BOB PETERS