

Received Today Another Shipment of Scarce Goods

Ladies' Elbow-Length Lisle Gloves, in white and black.....	50c
Ladies' Elbow-Length Lisle Gloves, white.....	25c
Ladies' Elbow-Length Lace Lisle Gloves, fawn and gray.....	25c
Ladies' Elbow-Length Pure Silk Gloves, white, black.....	50c
Ladies' Elbow-Length Lisle Gloves, suede finish, gray, champagne, brown.....	75c
Ladies' Elbow-Length Pure Silk Gloves, white, pink, pale blue, gray, pastel shades, black.....	75c
Ladies' Elbow-Length Extra Fine Lisle, suede finish, mousquetaire, pale gray, white.....	90c
Ladies' 3/4-Length Extra Heavy Milanese, white, cream and black.....	\$1.00

White Brussels Laces

In all widths. Insertions to match.

Bridal Veilings, Bretonne Nets

D'Esprit Nets in ivory and white.

Kingsmill's

MILLINERY

New shapes, new ideas. Each day comes into the department ODDMENTS. Quite as good as at any time during the season. Clearing out regardless of value. The rule is to carry nothing over. EVERY HAT must be sold. See what you can get in a nicely trimmed hat for.....\$2.50

AT KINGSMILL'S

From the factory, another shipment of those wonderful

Ladies' Corsets

all sizes, white and drab, pair.....50c, 59c, \$1
Ladies' Pretty Lace Scarfs.....\$1.00 to \$3.50

Ladies' Bolero Waists

With and without sleeves, white and ivory.....\$1 to \$5

Novelties in French Parasols

A large range of patterns and colorings. Price.....\$2 to \$5

Kingsmill's

HOSIERY

For the Boys

Heavy, Black, Ribbed, Cotton Hose, the Ironclad Brand, every size. Today at Kingsmill's, pair.....20c

For the Girls

Tan Cotton Hose, all sizes. Black Cotton Hose, all sizes. Summer Weight All-Wool Black Cashmere Hose, all sizes.

For the Infants

White Cotton and Lace Socks, all sizes.

Children's "The Little Beauty," in pink, cream, pale blue, cardinal, 4, 4½, 5, 5½, 6 inch.

Ladies' Fast Dye Black Cotton Hose, natural wool feet, seamless; sizes 9, 9½, 10; three qualities.....20c, 25c, 30c

Ladies' Black Lisle Hose. Ladies' White Lisle Hose. Ladies' Lace Lisle Hose, black and white, summer weight, pure wool.

Ladies' Black Cashmere Hose, full fashioned, sizes 8½, 9, 9½.....50c, 55c, 65c

Kingsmill's

Advertiser Patterns

DESIGNED BY MARTHA DEAN.



A NOVEL CHEMISE—6855

Dainty underwear is always a subject of interest to womankind and especially at present when everything in the feminine wardrobe is as fine and exquisite as the human brain can devise. An excellent idea for a chemise is sketched, showing the fullness of the front regulated by tucks, stitched several inches at the waistline. Everyone who wears a chemise knows that it needs always to be pulled down or up and around so that the necessary fullness is allowed to remain in front, the back mattering little so long as the fullness does not lie all on one side or another. Every particular woman gives special attention to the fit of her dresses and to realize this the undergarment must be properly worn. This chemise goes on over the head and has no opening. It is very easily made and suitable to elaborate or simple adornment. For the medium size, 3 yards of 36-inch material are needed.
6855—6 sizes, 32 to 42 inches bust measure.
The price of this pattern is 16c.

PATTERN DEPARTMENT OF THE ADVERTISER.

Please send the above-mentioned pattern, as per directions given below, to

Name
Street Address
Town
Province
Measurement: Bust Waist

Age (if child's or misses' pattern)
CAUTION.—Be careful to inclose above illustration and send size of pattern wanted. When the pattern is bust measure you need only mark 32, 34, or whatever it may be. When in waist measure, 22, 24, 26, or whatever it may be. If a skirt, give waist and length measure. When misses' or child's pattern, write only the figure, representing the age. It is not necessary to write "inches" or "years."

Patterns cannot reach you in less than one week from the date of order. The price of each pattern is 10 cents in cash or in postage stamps.
Address.....

PATTERN DEPARTMENT, ADVERTISER, LONDON, ONT.

Dr. Joseph S. Kennard, of Tarrytown, N. Y., returned a few days ago from Italy. He said that arrangements for the exchange of professors between that country and the United States on the same lines as the system now in force between America and Germany, but on a larger scale, had been completed.

PALE, SICKLY CHILDREN should use Hood's Sarsaparilla. It is one of the principal causes of suffering in children, and should be expelled from the system.

BOOKSELLERS COMPLAIN

Want Time To Dispose of the Old Stock of School Readers.

Toronto, May 31.—A very serious problem is confronting the provincial education department.

It arises over the proposed prompt issue of the new series of public school readers at the greatly reduced prices and comes from the retail booksellers. These tradesmen direct attention to the fact that they are stocked with public school readers under the existing prices, for which they paid more wholesale than the present retail price. The old books also are not so attractive in cover and design and thus cannot be disposed of.

Two instances are given. Part II, First Reader.—The old book cost the dealers 12 cents each wholesale; the new book retails at 7 cents. The wholesale price of the Second Reader was 15 cents and the new book sells for 20 cents.

The booksellers ask time to dispose of their old stock or some compensation.

THE HAYWOOD TRIAL

Sixty-one New Talesmen in Court and Completion of Jury in Sight.

Boise, May 31.—After a recess of three days, the trial of William D. Haywood, charged with the murder of former Governor Frank Steunenberg, was resumed this morning. Sixty-one new talesmen were in court. It is expected that the jury will be completed by tomorrow night.

The defense exercised the eighteenth peremptory challenge at 10:40, excusing Harmon Cox, in the seventh chair. Only two peremptory challenges remained to be exercised.

Judge Wood granted five applications for relief from jury duty, leaving 56 talesmen. J. A. Robertson, who was examined for chair No. 9, said Governor Steunenberg had once boarded at his house. He said he could give the accused the benefit of every doubt. He believed in labor organizations, but he took no interest in socialism. Many of his replies to questions caused laughter.

Robertson was accepted by both sides.

The defense then peremptorily challenged Harmon Cox, at 7.

H. F. Messacar, a farmer, was accepted as a juror for the vacant chair, No. 7.

Advertiser Correspondence

AN INJUSTICE ALLEGED.

To the Editor of The Advertiser:

In reference to the arrest made at the house of Mrs. Blanche Cushman on Thursday night, May 23, on the charge of keeping an indecent house, I wish to make it well understood that the innocent girl referred to in print as a "pretty brunette" is suffering a base injustice. The spotlessness of her character, and her already proved innocence, which can be verified and assured, if there be any need of it, should tend to make harsh, husky officers of the peace more careful lest they drag into shame, reproach and contempt, those whose character could be more easily broken than remedied. Certainly every offender should be punished, and the writer is one who wishes to see justice meted out to the guilty in this and all other cases. But it is worth the while to make sure that there is not such a mistake as there was made in the case of this poor, innocent girl, who had been in that house but a short time as a boarder only, having left a more distant house that of her brother, in order to get nearer her work.

I also wish to refer to the expression used in connection with the affair in a paper Saturday night, namely, a "pretty brunette." This expression is untrue in the way it was used, since it is one which is more fitting to cases where the report is true, and where enticingly featured girls are kept, instead of, as it was in this case, where the girl happened to drop into this particular boarding-house. A FRIEND.

Dyspepsia

Don't think you can cure your dyspepsia in any other way than by strengthening and toning your stomach.

That is weak and incapable of performing its functions, probably because you have imposed upon it in any way or another over and over again.

You should take

Hood's Sarsaparilla

It strengthens and tones the stomach, and permanently cures dyspepsia and all stomach troubles. Accept no substitutes.



MISS MARY MANNERING.

Who Will Be Seen at the Grand as "Glorious Betsy," Next Thursday Night.

WAR ON UNQUALIFIED

Ontario Teachers' Alliance Proposes To Weed Out the Unfit.

Toronto, May 31.—That of the 10,000 school teachers in Ontario, 5,700 were engaged in rural schools, and of these 4,000 had never been to the Normal school, and 1,200 did not hold even a third-class certificate, was stated to the South York teachers in convention at the York County municipal building yesterday by Miss Carruthers, of Guelph.

"We intend to make war on those who issue permits to unqualified teachers," said Miss Carruthers, who thought that low salaries, not the inspectors, were chiefly to blame.

Miss Carruthers stated that the average pay of both city and rural male teachers was only \$500, and that of female teachers only \$348. So many young girls coming from the high schools kept down salaries.

A local branch of the Ontario Teachers' Alliance, the newly-organized body of Ontario teachers, was formed, with the following officers: President, M. Holmes, Hillcrest; secretary, Mr. Urmy, Davisville; treasurer, Mr. MacDonald, Toronto Junction.

The officers of the association elected were: Honorary president, Inspector Stouffville; president, Jas. Hand, Stouffville; vice-president, W. Wilson, London from Toronto and many surrounding towns for the express purpose of consulting the specialists, Dr. Tait and Dr. Brown, in regard to glasses.

The entire satisfaction they have always given have procured for them a worldwide reputation. Their examining rooms are the most complete in Canada, being equipped with every scientific instrument necessary for the determination of difficult cases of eye-defect corrected by glasses. Being thoroughly familiar with every disease and condition of the eye the mistake so often made by inexperienced persons fitting glasses, where medical aid is needed, is entirely impossible with them. The advantage of glasses being manufactured under the supervision of the examiner has proven to be great, making mistakes impossible.

Owing to the enormous and rapid increase of the clientele of The Tait-Brown Optical Company, they have been compelled to enlarge and improve their already comfortable quarters, at 237 Dundas street. Nothing but an absolute knowledge of the optical profession, moderate prices and perfect satisfaction have enabled them to attain the reputation they now have and deserve.

We always have on hand a large assortment of used Square and Upright Pianos at \$50 to \$150, payable 50 cents per week. Heinizman & Co., 217 Dundas street, corner Clarence.

What the assistant provincial secretary said was that the circumstances should be inquired into and submitted to the crown attorney. The point is

WAR ON UNQUALIFIED

Ontario Teachers' Alliance Proposes To Weed Out the Unfit.

Toronto, May 31.—That of the 10,000 school teachers in Ontario, 5,700 were engaged in rural schools, and of these 4,000 had never been to the Normal school, and 1,200 did not hold even a third-class certificate, was stated to the South York teachers in convention at the York County municipal building yesterday by Miss Carruthers, of Guelph.

"We intend to make war on those who issue permits to unqualified teachers," said Miss Carruthers, who thought that low salaries, not the inspectors, were chiefly to blame.

Miss Carruthers stated that the average pay of both city and rural male teachers was only \$500, and that of female teachers only \$348. So many young girls coming from the high schools kept down salaries.

A local branch of the Ontario Teachers' Alliance, the newly-organized body of Ontario teachers, was formed, with the following officers: President, M. Holmes, Hillcrest; secretary, Mr. Urmy, Davisville; treasurer, Mr. MacDonald, Toronto Junction.

The officers of the association elected were: Honorary president, Inspector Stouffville; president, Jas. Hand, Stouffville; vice-president, W. Wilson, London from Toronto and many surrounding towns for the express purpose of consulting the specialists, Dr. Tait and Dr. Brown, in regard to glasses.

The entire satisfaction they have always given have procured for them a worldwide reputation. Their examining rooms are the most complete in Canada, being equipped with every scientific instrument necessary for the determination of difficult cases of eye-defect corrected by glasses. Being thoroughly familiar with every disease and condition of the eye the mistake so often made by inexperienced persons fitting glasses, where medical aid is needed, is entirely impossible with them. The advantage of glasses being manufactured under the supervision of the examiner has proven to be great, making mistakes impossible.

Owing to the enormous and rapid increase of the clientele of The Tait-Brown Optical Company, they have been compelled to enlarge and improve their already comfortable quarters, at 237 Dundas street. Nothing but an absolute knowledge of the optical profession, moderate prices and perfect satisfaction have enabled them to attain the reputation they now have and deserve.

We always have on hand a large assortment of used Square and Upright Pianos at \$50 to \$150, payable 50 cents per week. Heinizman & Co., 217 Dundas street, corner Clarence.

What the assistant provincial secretary said was that the circumstances should be inquired into and submitted to the crown attorney. The point is

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the line merely to get a divorce, that divorce is not legal, and to issue another license is only to enable him to commit bigamy.

Mr. Mulvey has received a number of inquiries and thinks the point should be made clear.

important and far-reaching. If the applicant has really changed his domicile and properly secures the divorce, there is nothing in Canadian law to prevent him being married in Canada. If, however, he goes across the