

has to deal with a Republican President, instead of a Despotie monarch, a different rule is to be acted on, and we are placed by him in the very dangerous position of attempting to enforce this doctrine, although it is utterly untenable and will not bear a moment's examination.

Does not Lord Clarendon see that he in effect declares that the United States' Government ought to have at once sent away Crampton and tried and convicted the Consuls? He thus imposes a penalty on moderation and offers a premium on violence.

"The charge brought against Mr Crampton is mainly founded upon evidence given by Strobel on the trial of Hertz, and on the so-called confession of Hertz himself. One of these persons, Strobel, was, in consequence of his misconduct, dismissed from employment by the Lieutenant-Governor of Nova Scotia at Halifax, and afterwards applied to Mr Crampton, and endeavoured to extort money from him by a threat, which was of course disregarded. The undersigned has the honour to transmit as inclosures to this note documents which sufficiently prove that both Strobel and Hertz are wholly unworthy of credit. It is impossible for her Majesty's Government to set the assertions of such men as these against the declaration of Mr Crampton, a man of unquestionable honour.

"The undersigned must, indeed, remark that the whole proceeding in regard to the trial of Hertz was of such a nature that, whilst her Majesty's Minister and her Majesty's Consuls might be, and indeed, were, inculpated by the evidences of unscrupulous witnesses, that Minister and those Consuls had not any means or any opportunity of rebutting the charges which were thus incidentally and indirectly brought against them.

"With regard to her Majesty's Consuls at Cincinnati, Philadelphia, and New York, they all equally deny the charges which have been brought against them, and they declare that they have in no way whatever infringed the laws of the United States."

"With respect to Mr Roweroft, her Majesty's Consul at Cincinnati, the undersigned has to observe that legal proceedings against that gentleman are still pending. As to the origin, character, and nature of those proceedings, the undersigned might have much to say; but, as they are still pending, he abstains from doing so. He must, however, be permitted to remark that it would, at all events, be inconsistent with the plainest principles of justice to assume as established charges which are still the subject matter of judicial investigation.

"The accusation against Mr Mathew, her Majesty's Consul at Philadelphia, rests entirely upon assertions made by Hertz. Those assertions are positively denied by Mr Mathew; and her Majesty's Government can scarcely believe that the Government of the United