

As there is no longer any doubt as to the determination of the Honourable the Postmaster-General *not* to allow me any opportunity to testify before a proper tribunal in my own behalf as to my innocence of the charges brought against me by Mr. Griffin, and feeling that I have exhausted every argument to induce him to do so, I have no other alternative but to publish the whole correspondence, and leave the world to judge for themselves as to the merits of the case. As the charge upon which I was arrested and tried was the strongest (of the several,) which the prosecution laid against me, I herewith produce only the evidence as taken before the Police Magistrate in that *one*; the evidence in the others was of a still weaker nature, and many are inclined to think that none of the evidence was sufficient to warrant a committal; but, as Mr. Griffin remarked, (see page 3,) the Police Magistrate would do anything he wished him to do.

I call particular attention to Mr. Murphy's evidence, (see page vi,) and Mr. Osborne's evidence, (see page vi). Both these gentlemen swore that the money enclosed in the letter was composed of a \$2 bill and postage stamps, while at the trial in April, a third clerk in Messrs. Cronyn & Greenless' office, (name I do not recollect,) swore that it was *he* who copied the letter and put the money in, which comprised two \$1 bills and postage stamps. - Mr. Frederick Matthews' evidence is also worthy of particular attention, (see page ix,) who said that *any one might have tampered with or taken a letter from that box without being seen.*

The evidence taken before the Police Magistrate went to prove an expenditure by me between 6th October and 31st December of \$138.55.

The Hon. the Postmaster-General in his letter to Judge Gwynne (page 10) and dated 17th May, 1879, gives *three* reasons for having arrived at an unsatisfactory conclusion, unfavourable to my case, and as far as I know, has never given any other. These reasons, which after all, are nothing more than mere assertions of Mr. Griffin's, which I defy him to prove on oath, are :

1st, "London had been remarkably exempt from any losses of the kind for a number of years, but they became numerous shortly after Mr. Jarvis joined the office there, and *ceased altogether* (*italics are mine*) after he left it."

In answer to the above see Mr. Walker's affidavit, (page 7) and Mr. Cox's evidence (see page ix).

2nd, "He on several occasions made excuses for getting back into the office in the evening when he would be alone in it."

In reply to this, see my affidavit (page 12).

3rd, "His cash expenditure in London was ascertained to be considerably more than his income from the Post Office or any other known source."