

House has decided that it should be adopted and it is now in the Senate.

The motion is weakened by having Bill C-73 taken out of it.

We have C-78, an act to establish a federal environment assessment process. We just had an eloquent speech by my colleague, the member for Davenport. Those remarks I endorse. We have had a long process. I could give all the dates: from June 18, 1990, when it was first read to the House, until its referral to a special committee on October 30, 1990.

I then come to Bill C-82, an act amend an act to provide for the appointment of a port warden for the harbour of Quebec and an act to amend and consolidate the acts relating to the office of port warden for the harbour of Montreal, and so on. This bill was first read in the House on September 26, 1990. We went on to second reading. On November 5 it was referred to a legislative committee. It was reported to the House without amendment on November 22. The bill was concurred in at report stage on December 14, read the third time and passed by this House December 14, 1990. That has been dealt with.

Here we have a government which says that because of its friends on the other side in the Senate, proper study was not given to this bill. It wants us to start over again. I just do not understand. This bill has been passed and adopted. It would seem to me kind of a heavy procedure for the government to reinstate that with a Royal Recommendation and do it all again. It is not our doing, it is the government's doing.

I want to talk in the few minutes remaining about Bill C-85, a bill which touches the official languages; a subject I find very important. The purpose of the bill was to allow for certain employees' pensions and labour relations in connection with the transfer of airports in Canada and that is a very important bill that we should talk—

[*Translation*]

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. DeBlois):** When we resume, the hon. member for Ottawa-Vanier will have 11 minutes left.

It being one o'clock, I do now leave the chair until two o'clock.

### S. O. 31

The House took recess at 1 p.m.

### AFTER RECESS

The House resumed at 2 p.m.

### STATEMENTS PURSUANT TO S. O. 31

[*English*]

#### CORBY'S DISTILLERY

**Mr. Lyle Vanclief (Prince Edward—Hastings):** Mr. Speaker, I rise today to express my disappointment at the decision made by Hiram Walker Allied to close its Corby's distillery facility at Corbyville, Ontario, in my riding.

Corby's will continue to employ its 170 person staff until September 30 of this year, when the plant and the facility will close down completely. This plant has been a well respected pillar of the Quinte region's corporate community for 132 years. It is deeply regrettable that the rather dramatic market shifts have resulted in this unfortunate business decision. Corby's unparalleled tradition of product excellence and community service as a large employer and generous corporate citizen will be sorely missed in our area.

Although times have been tough for all of us lately, I know that all members will share with me in the hope that we will not see more Canadians continue to lose jobs and go through the suffering and shock that these Corby's employees are now experiencing.

\* \* \*

#### CFB SHILO

**Mr. Lee Clark (Brandon—Souris):** Mr. Speaker, I want to state clearly to this House that I am strongly opposed to any proposal which may exist at any level to either downsize or close CFB Shilo. Should the German army decide not to renew its training contract at Shilo or should National Defence Headquarters recommend the relocation of any of the present personnel, Canadian units currently stationed elsewhere in Canada or at Lahr, Germany, should be relocated to Shilo in order to maintain the strength of the base.