

Hon. Arthur Laing (Minister of Public Works): 1. Yes, (a) Six tenders were received and opened on October 31, 1968. (b) Highest tender, \$221,000.; lowest tender, \$163,450. (c) Lowest tender. (d) Public advertisement—Newspapers: Montreal, Toronto, Ottawa and Hull; Heavy Construction News, Toronto; Daily Commercial News, Toronto.

2. Not applicable.

3. Admiral Engineering & Construction Ltd.

4. (a) (i) and (ii) \$4,135.—Braiss, Frigon Hanley, Brett & Minty, Consulting Engineers.

(a) and (b) (iii) to (x) In very few cases does the Department require the general contractor to list on the tender form all the names of the subcontractors they propose using. A cost breakdown by work subdivision, as provided by the general contractor, is attached including the names of those trades which were required to be listed.

5 and 6. The contractual arrangements are directly between the Department and the general contractor. Consequently the matter of performance bonds and insurance for subcontractors is the sole responsibility of the general contractor.

Cost Breakdown by Trades

Construction of Building No. 8, Greenbelt Farm— Contract 8C-09915

Excavation and Backfill	\$ 6,000
Concrete, reinforcing, forms	16,000
Carpentry and Insulation	45,000
Metal Siding and Roofing (Ideal Roofing)	8,500
Miscellaneous Metals	7,000
Animal Hardware and Exerciser	38,000
Roll-up Doors	2,000
Asphalt Paving	3,000
Painting	3,500
Finishing Hardware (Rankin's Hardware)	1,300
Weigh Scale	2,150
Conveying System (De Laval Co. Ltd.)	6,000
Mechanical (Andy's Mechanical Ltd.)	10,000
Electrical (R. E. Ferguson Ltd.)	15,000

PUBLIC WORKS—RIDEAU FALLS LABORATORY CONTRACT NO. CR-67-2766

Question No. 1,148—Mr. Paproski:

1. Were tenders called for contract CR-67-2766, November 1, 1967, Sanitary sewer connection, Rideau Falls Laboratory, NRC, Sussex Drive and, if so (a) what was the number of bids received (b) what was the highest and what was the lowest (c) what bid was accepted (d) how was the notice of tender publicized?

2. If no tenders were submitted, what was the reason?

3. Who was awarded the contract?

4. If the Department of Public Works executed the contract (a) what was the cost of (i) architectural supervision (ii) engineering supervision (iii) excavation (iv) foundation (v) super structure roofing contractor and concrete supplier (vi) masonry construction (vii) interior divisions plastering and interior finish partition (viii) heating and air conditioning (ix) electrical wiring (x) plumbing and heating (b) by whom was same done?

Questions

5. Who was the bonding company for sub contracts?

6. With whom was the insurance placed for sub trades?

Hon. Arthur Laing (Minister of Public Works): 1. Yes. (a) Four tenders were received and opened on October 17, 1967. (b) Highest tender, \$39,900.; lowest tender, \$29,500. (c) Lowest tender. (d) Public advertisement—Newspapers: Ottawa and Hull.

2. Not applicable.

3. W. B. Walsh Limited.

4. (a) (i) None. (ii) \$300.—Estimated cost of Engineering supervision by Department of Public Works.

(a) and (b) (iii) to (x) In very few cases does the Department require the general contractor to list on the tender form all the names of the subcontractors they propose using. A cost breakdown by work subdivision, as provided by the general contractor, is attached including the names of those trades which were required to be listed.

5 and 6. The contractual arrangements are directly between the Department and the general contractor. Consequently the matter of performance bonds and insurance for subcontractors is the sole responsibility of the general contractor.

Cost Breakdown by Trades

Sanitary Sewer Connection, Rideau Falls Laboratory, NRC, Sussex Drive,—Contract—CR-67-2766

Mechanical:

Pipe fittings and valves	\$7,000
Pump and units	8,500
Labour	6,500
Electrical	2,000
Excavation and Finished Product:	
Outside Services	4,500
Drilling	400
Sump Pits and Finished Concrete	600

PUBLIC WORKS—CENTRAL EXPERIMENTAL FARM CONTRACT NO. CR-67-2767

Question No. 1,149—Mr. Paproski:

1. Were tenders called for contract CR-67-2767, September 22, 1967, Renovations to Plant Research Institute greenhouses, Central Experimental Farm and, if so (a) what was the number of bids received (b) what was the highest and what was the lowest (c) what bid was accepted (d) how was the notice of tender publicized?

2. If no tenders were submitted, what was the reason?

3. Who was awarded the contract?

4. If the Department of Public Works executed the contract (a) what was the cost of (i) architectural supervision (ii) engineering supervision (iii) excavation (iv) foundation (v) super structure roofing contractor and concrete supplier (vi) masonry construction (vii) interior divisions plastering and interior finish partition (viii) heating and air conditioning (ix) electrical wiring (x) plumbing and heating (b) by whom was same done?

5. Who was the bonding company for sub contracts?

6. With whom was the insurance placed for sub trades?