

public wharf in the town of Magog with Lake Street, or to assist the town in providing some other way for reaching the wharf from Main Street?

**Mr. OUIMET.** The department does not intend to place a sum in the Estimates to connect the public wharf in the town of Magog with Lake Street. It is understood, that the town is to provide the right of way to the wharf.

#### QUEEN VS. LARKIN, CONNOLLY & CO.

**Mr. MACDONALD (Huron)** (for Mr. Campbell) asked :

1. What were the dates respectively of the judgment in the case in the Exchequer Court of "The Queen vs. Larkin, Connolly & Co." and of the first writ of execution (*feri facias*) issued to the sheriff of Frontenac?

2. To what dates was sale of defendants' dredge, &c., advertised and successively adjourned under said writ?

3. On what date was said writ withdrawn, and why?

4. What return was made by said sheriff to said writ?

5. On what date did writ of *venditioni exponas* issue to said sheriff, and what did said writ contain?

6. In what newspapers and how often was the sale under said *venditioni exponas* on 7th March, 1896, advertised?

7. At whose instance and when was said sale ordered to be adjourned?

8. What communications were sent to said sheriff or others relative to such adjournment?

9. What inquiries, if any, were made previous to time of sale in reference thereto either of the department, the attorneys or the sheriff?

10. What persons were present at advertised place and time of sale, and did any such persons express a desire to bid?

11. To what date was sale adjourned, and why?

12. On what dates did any one from plaintiff's attorney's office visit Kingston in connection with said execution or sale, and why?

13. What costs have been incurred to date on account of said execution and proceedings?

**Mr. DICKEY.** 1. Date of judgment, 11th September, 1894; writ of execution to sheriff of the county of Frontenac dated 15th May, 1895. 2. The sheriff, after the receipt of the writ, seized the dredge, &c., and made several attempts to sell same without success, for want of buyers. 3. On the 12th February, 1896, the sheriff returned writ with goods unsold, for want of buyers. 4. Goods of the value of \$35,000 seized and unsold, for want of buyers. 5. 12th February, 1896. The writ was in the regular form issued out of the Exchequer Court. 6. The sale of the dredge, &c., under the writ of *ven ex.* was advertised for some time in two Kingston newspapers, and for one week in the Toronto "Mail and Empire" and Montreal "Gazette." 7. On instructions from the Minister of Justice. 8. The sheriff was telegraphed and written to on the 6th of March. 9. None. 10. Several persons were in attendance in the sheriff's office, and among these were Mr. McNamee, Mr. Sullivan, Mr. Mann and Mr. Birkett; McNamee and Sullivan stated, they attended the sale to bid. 11. Adjourned to 7th May by direction of Minister. 12. On the 14th January and 7th March, 1896, in the interests of the Crown. 13. The costs incurred have not been rendered.

**Mr. RIDER.**

van, Mr. Mann and Mr. Birkett; McNamee and Sullivan stated, they attended the sale to bid. 11. Adjourned to 7th May by direction of Minister. 12. On the 14th January and 7th March, 1896, in the interests of the Crown. 13. The costs incurred have not been rendered.

#### RETURNS ORDERED.

Copy of the report made by His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor of the North-west Territories to His Excellency the Governor General respecting the Bill intituled: An Ordinance to amend and consolidate, as amended, the Ordinances respecting Schools, passed by the Legislative Assembly at its last session, and which was reserved for the assent of His Excellency, any Order in Council or report made in respect July, 1891, to 1st January, 1896.—(Mr. McMillan.)

Detailed statement giving all rebates of duty on imported and exported articles, from 1st July, 1891, to 1st January, 1896.—(Mr. McMillan.)

Also, the value and kinds of articles so imported and exported, and the amount of duty paid on each article, with the amount of rebate on each article, and to whom paid.—(Mr. McMillan.)

Papers relating to the sale of lots Nos. 15 and 16, on the west side of Cayuga Street, in the village of Cayuga, in the province of Ontario, to W. A. Mitchell, or any other person, including copy of petition and signatures, asking for the sale of said lots; also information as to whether at any time in the past, application has been made to the Government for permission to use the said lots as a burial place, and whether permission by the Government, or any official of the Government, was given for the use of the said lots for such purpose; also whether the Government at the time the said lots were sold was aware that they had been used as a burial place, and that several hundred bodies were buried there.—(Mr. Charlton.)

Return showing the name of each licensee to whom fishing licenses were granted by David Sharp, of Port Dover, Ontario, fishery overseer, for the years 1894 and 1895, together with the amount received for each license so granted in the years 1894 and 1895, aforesaid.—(Mr. Charlton.)

Return showing amount of money expended during the past ten years, under the following heads:—

##### A. Permanent Militia—

1. Headquarters and District Staff.
2. Royal Military College and Staff.
3. Permanent Force, including expenditures on buildings erected and repaired, intended for their use, and which would not be required for the Active Militia alone.

Ammunition Factory at Quebec, staff, &c.

##### B. Active Militia—

1. Rifle ranges and ammunition supplied.
2. Drill shed and armouries.
3. Clothing.
4. Pay of rural corps, including camps.
5. Pay of city corps.

C.—List and value of property of all kinds handed over by the Imperial Government on the withdrawal of the Imperial troops from Canada.

D.—List of above property since sold or otherwise disposed of, and value realized.

E.—List of remaining property now held by the Government in Canada with its present value.—(Mr. Charlton.)