

Hon. Mr. CHEVRIER: I do not think we should remove this section. It was given careful consideration by those who drafted the bill and it is in the other bills, and I would rather see it in there.

Mr. FULTON: There are other railway lines in British Columbia which go through areas far less likely to be settled than this area, and yet the railway has the obligation to fence. Moreover, the witness said that the railway company did not have any rigid or rooted objection to being required to fence. I would hope, therefore, that the minister would reconsider the matter because I think it would be fairer to all concerned if they fenced.

Hon. Mr. CHEVRIER: This question was raised in the same manner in which it is being raised now on the other bill, and we took the decision at that time that when the occasion arose for the necessity of fencing, then the railway would consider doing so; but until the population was such that fencing was necessary, it would not be included in the bill, and, moreover, the witness said that it is going to be a matter of substantial cost to the railway. I hope that the British Columbia members will not insist on it, because, after all, it is a small matter and it certainly does not go to the meat of this bill.

The CHAIRMAN: Shall the schedule carry?

Carried.

Shall the preamble carry?

Carried.

Shall the title carry?

Carried.

Shall the bill carry?

Carried.

Shall I report the bill?

Carried.

Now I wish on behalf of the committee to thank Mr. Fairweather and Mr. Whitaker for the splendid presentation they have given us today. The meeting is adjourned.

The committee adjourned.