

After further debate, the question being put on the said motion, pursuant to section 11 of Standing Order 75, a recorded division was deferred.

Mrs. MacInnis for Mr. Burton, seconded by Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre), moved,—That Bill C-219, An Act to establish the Canada Development Corporation, be amended by adding subclause (2) to Clause 12 as follows:

“(2) Directors shall be appointed with regard to providing an adequate representation of different interests in Canadian society including labour and consumers.”

Mrs. MacInnis for Mr. Burton, seconded by Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre), moved,—That Bill C-219, An Act to establish the Canada Development Corporation, be amended by deleting from subclause (3) of Clause 12 line 12 and substituting the following:

“All members of”

After debate thereon, the question being put on the said motion, pursuant to section 11 of Standing Order 75, a recorded division was deferred.

Mr. Saltsman for Mr. Burton, seconded by Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre), moved,—That Bill C-219, An Act to establish the Canada Development Corporation, be amended by deleting Clause 18 and substituting the following:

“18. Except for those common shares of the company issued and allotted to the government which shall entitle the government to one vote for each share held, each shareholder who holds common shares of the company is entitled to one vote without regard to the number of shares held.”

After debate thereon, the question being put on the said motion, pursuant to section 11 of Standing Order 75, a recorded division was deferred.

Mr. Saltsman for Mr. Burton, seconded by Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre), moved,—That Bill C-219, An Act to establish the Canada Development Corporation, be amended by deleting from Clause 36(1) paragraphs (a) and (b) and by substituting the word “condition” for the word “conditions” in line 3 on page 25.

After debate thereon, the question being put on the said motion, pursuant to section 11 of Standing Order 75, a recorded division was deferred.

Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre) for Mr. Burton, seconded by Mr. Mather, moved,—That Bill C-219, An Act to establish the Canada Development Corporation, be amended by deleting Clause 39.

After debate thereon, the question being put on the said motion, pursuant to section 11 of Standing Order 75, a recorded division was deferred.

Motion No. 11, having been called;—That Bill C-219, An Act to establish the Canada Development Corporation, be amended by renumbering subclause (1) of Clause 40 as paragraph (a) of subclause (1) of Clause 40 and by adding the following thereto:

“40.(1)(b) Members of Parliament shall not be excluded from appointment to the Board of Directors under Clause 40.(1)(a) by reason of their office as Members of Parliament.”—*Mr. Stewart (Cochrane)*.

RULING BY MR. SPEAKER

MR. SPEAKER: I thank the honourable Member for Cochrane for his enlightening remarks and I also thank the honourable Member for Ottawa West (Mr. Francis) for his comments for the guidance of the Chair. I had indicated previously when this motion was first put to the House that I had serious doubts about the procedural aspect of the proposal submitted by the honourable Member for Cochrane. I was under the impression that this amendment was rather in the form of a substantive proposition that went substantially beyond the terms of the bill that is now before us.

That is still the objection which I have to the honourable Member's amendment. Somehow I have the impression that the argument he has submitted in support of his claim that the motion should be accepted and put to the House strengthens my view that what he has proposed for our consideration is a substantive motion that goes beyond the terms of the bill before the House.

The honourable Member's proposed motion would provide that, in making appointments to the board of directors, the Governor in Council may appoint Members of Parliament to the board. While the motion does not use that specific language, the appointments the motion proposes could be made notwithstanding the provisions of the Senate and House of Commons Act. That is the point that was made by the honourable Member for Ottawa West.

I suggest to the honourable Member for Cochrane that his proposed motion is either a nullity, in the sense that it would produce nothing in practice, or, in effect, it is an amendment to the Senate and House of Commons Act, which is not now before the House. I suggest to honourable Members that it is not open to them to propose a motion, following the procedure suggested by the honourable Member for Cochrane, that amends an Act that is in no way before the House and which bears very little relationship to the bill that is before the House at the present time.