Table 12

BENEFITS/LOSSES OF FREER TRADE WITHIN REGIONS BY SECTOR

-	BRITISH COLUMBIA		<u> 284</u> 15	PRAIRIES		<u>ONTARIO</u>		QUEBEC		ATLANTIC	
	<u>Benefit</u> ≴	Lose 1	Benefit \$	Lose \$	<u>Bonefit</u> ⊈	<u>Lose</u> ≴	<u>3enefi†</u> ⊈	<u>Lose</u>	Benefit 1	Lose [	
Banks/Financial Services	41	20	43,	19.	-40	29	46	22	45	20	
főrestry industry	70	,23	5 <b>8</b>	30	46	41	52	31	60	29	
Farming/Agriculture	50	33	54	30	44	40	47	34	<b>5</b> 5	31	
Fishing Industry	:43	29	49	26	40	38.	45	28	39	28	
Auto Industry											
Cultural Industries	53	30	48:	32	40	39	40	43	50	34	
Téxtile/Clothing Industry	50	32	45	40	41	46	38	46	45	39	

There has been a marked movement in assessments of sectoral impacts since April. Overall, evaluations of the net benefits to the forestry sector appear less positive than in April, even in British Columbia. Net declines in perceptions of the fortunes of the forestry industry under free trade are especially apparent in Quebec. Despite overall erosion in assessments of the benefits to the Canadian economy in general, those supportive regions, particularly British Columbia and the Prairies, indicate net gains in perceived benefits across most sectors. By contrast, there is a tendency to be more negative in July than in April among Quebec respondents. Ontario respondents, while still overall not supportive of free trade, appear slightly more positively inclined to assess the net benefits to the textile, cultural and farming sectors. Finally, while the textile sector is universally perceived to be the sector most at risk in the Canadian economy, this view appears to be softening somewhat.